STUDY OF MOLECULAR COMPOSITION OF VIRULANCE BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM BOVINE MASTITIS WITH BIOFILM PRODUCTION

B. N. Nadhom Assis.Lecturer College of Veterinary Medicine\ University of Baghdad bannameer5@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out in order to isolate and diagnosis of common bacteria from bovine mastitis in Baghdad city which have the ability to produce biofilm and detect its molecular composition. Twenty five milk samples were collected from different regions in Baghdad city from udders of cows suffering from clinical and subclinical mastitis. Then cultured on blood agar. Gram staining was done to differentiate between bacteria gram positive which cultured on Mannitol Salt Agar and Nutrient Agar while gram negative bacteria were cultured on MacConkey agar and Eosin Methylene Blue agar. All bacterial isolates were subjected to different biochemical tests, API 20 E System, API Staph System and RapIDTMONE System kit to confirm the diagnosis.Christensen tube method was used to detect the ability of the diagnostic bacterial isolates to produce biofilm. Specific forward and reverse primers were designed according to a program from NCBI-Genbank for Staphylococcus aureus (Genbank code: gb |KR265472.1|), for Escherichia coli : gb|JQ781567.1| and for Klebsiella pneumoniae gb|KT944736.1|, To study the sequence of these genes after amplification of 16srRNA genes by using PCR was appeared because it is sensitive and highly specific assay serve as suitable molecular diagnostic tool for detection and compare these genes sequencing with references strains. Results showed that 8 (32%) out of 25 milk samples were positive for Staphylococcus, 6 (24%) out of 25 samples were positive for Klebsiella pneumonia. and 11(44%) were positive for E.coli. The results showed that 22 (88%) isolates out of 25 milk have the ability to produce biofilm. Genetic identities results showed that Staphylococcus aureus and Klebsiella pneumonia isolates gave 99% matching and resembling the reference strains while Escherichia coli isolates identity showed resemble match of 100% with the reference strain

Keywords: staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Biofilm, 16srRNA genes, PCR.

مجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية -2018 :846-840 في الطوم الزراعية العراقية -840 في 195 في الطوم الزراعية العراقية -840 في 195 في الطوم الزراعية العراقية -840 في 195 ف

دراسة التركيب الجزيئي للبكتريا المعزولة من حالات التهاب الضرع المنتجة للغشاء الحيوي بان نمير ناظم مدرس مساعد كلية الطب البيطري /جامعة بغداد

المستخلص

هدفت هذه الدراسة الى عزل وتشخيص بعض البكتريا الشائعة من حالات التهاب الضرع البقري وتحديد قدرتها على انتاج الغشاء الحيوي والتحري عن التركيب الجزيئي لهذه العزلات .جمعت خمسة وعشرون عينة حليب من اضرع الابقار المصابة بالتهاب الضرع السريري وتحت السريري . من مناطق مختلفة من بغداد وزرعت على وسط اكار الدم وتم عمل ملون كرام لتغريق البكتريا الموجبة لملون كرام اذ زرعت على وسط الكتريرية الى مختلف المغذي بينما البكتريا السالبة لملون كرام زرعت على وسط الماكونكي ووسط صبغة المثيل الازرق تم اخضاع جميع العزلات البكتيرية الى مختلف المغذي بينما البكتيريا السالبة لملون كرام زرعت على وسط الماكونكي ووسط صبغة المثيل الازرق تم اخضاع جميع العزلات البكتيرية الى مختلف الاختبارات الكيموحيوية واختبارات الكيموحيوية واختبارات الكيموحيوية واختبارات العامولية والمشخصة لانتاج الغشاء الحيوي و تم تصميم البادي الاولي والعكسي تبعا لبنك الجينات العالمي لبكتريا المعزولة والمشخصة لانتاج الغشاء الحيني بعد مضاعفة Staphylococcus aureus (Genbank code: gb | KR265472.1| Escherichia coli : gb | JQ781567.1| البلمرة التسلسلي الذي يعد من التقنيات الحساسة جدا كأداة تشخيص لتحديد ومقارنة التسلسل الجيني مع بنك الجينات العالمي . اظهرت النتائج ان 8 عينة حيب كانت موجبة لصفات البكتريا Staphylococcus aureus بينت النتائج الغشاء الحيوي اظهرت النتائج الغشاء الحيوي اظهرت العالمي بينما اظهرت النتائج النائية إلى الخينات العالمي بينما كانت نسبة النطابق وو% مع العينة المسجلة في نتاج الغشاء الحيوي النطابق الجينات العالمي بينما كانت نسبة النطابي 100% بالنسبة لغزلة بكتريا Escherichia coli المتقابق العبنة المسجلة في الخينات العالمي بينما كانت نسبة النطابي النطابي النشابة الغلاقة المنجرة المنائد المعالمي بينما كانت نسبة النطابي النسبة النطابي الغشاء الخورة المنزية المنطنة المؤلة الجينات العالمي بينما كانت نسبة النطابي النسبة النطابية المنائد نسبة النطابية المنسان المعربة المنائد الميان المعربة المنائد المياند نسبة النطابة المنسان المعربة المنسبة النطابة المنسان المعربة المياند

الكلمات المفتاحية: Staphylococcus aureus, العلمات المفتاحية: 16srRNA , Klebsiella pneumonia Escherichia coli تفاعل البلمرة التسلسلي.

^{*}Received:4/3/2018, Accepted:31/5/2018

INTRODUCTION

Mastitis is inflammation of the parenchyma of the mammary gland regardless of the cause, mastitis characterized by a range of physical and chemical changes in the milk and pathological changes in the glandular tissue(22). the most important changes in the milk include discoloration, the presence of clots and the presence of large numbers of leukocytes, there is swelling, heat, pain, and odema in the mammary gland in many clinical cases (19). E.coli mastitis remains one of the most costly disease in farm animal, and this disease affected many high producing cows in dairy herds and may cause several cases of death per year in most sever cases with economic losses to the dairy industry (6). Recent research on biofilm formation from E.coli and Streptococcus uberis shed an interesting light on the whole dynamic process of bacterial invasion, adherence persistence, evasive strategies of these bacteria and reveal parallels and differences between these bacteria and Staphylococcus aureus (8). The search for a successful treatment of biofilm infections that can prevent eradicate biofilms in the clinical environment is still ongoing (21). From all major pathogens in bovine mastitis biofilm behavior is best studied in Staphylococcus aureus, the reason for the preference of S. aureus is presumably related to the importance of this species both for bovine mastitis and (17). The formation of bacterial biofilms of E.coli and Klebsiella pneumonia in a host in general seems to be, based on current evidence, to a large extent anintracellularevent(4). Biofilms are not easily defined as they vary greatly in structure and composition from one environmental niche to another, Microbial biofilms are extremely complex microbial ecosystems consisting of microorganisms attached to a surface and embedded in an organic polymer matrix of microbial origin, As well as microbial components, non-cellular materials such as mineral crystals, corrosion particles, clay or silt particles, or blood components, may also be found in the biofilm matrix (21) Biofilms particularly in water systems can be highly complex, whilst others such as those on medical devices, may be simpler, and composed of single, cocoid or rod shaped organisms, Given these differences, it does not seem plausible to suggest that a true "biofilm" model can be defined that is applicable to every ecological, industrial and medical situation, Therefore the definition of a biofilm has to be kept general and thus may be redefined as" microbial cells immobilized in a matrix of extra cellular polymers acting as an independent functioning ecosystem, homeostatically regulated" (20,24). There are a variety of ways in which biofilms can cause health issues in humans ,as well as animals with wounds and mastitis being two common clinical challenges in animals, although research is still compiling evidence on the role of biofilms in veterinary medicine ,much of the information regarding biofilm infection and disease has been extrapolated from human research and applied to the veterinary environment as there are still many gaps in veterinary biofilm research (9). The diseases caused by a particular strain of E. coli depend on distribution and expression of many virulence determinants such as adhesion ,biofilm formation, production of haemolysin, enterotoxin, shiga toxin endotoxin and capsule formation (13). Bacterial diagnosis by molecular methods have proved beneficial to overcoming some limitations conventional biochemical and serological methods and improved sensitivity and rapidity (13, 25), Species-specific PCR assays are available for some bacteria (11), and the polymerase chain reaction was found useful. specific and time saving for identification of bacteria which have the ability to produce biofilm using different oligonucleotide primers (23). Improved gene responsible for produced biofilm detection by PCR, two amplicons based PCR assay were used, targeted E. coli,Klebsiella pneumonia and Staphylococcus aureus specific 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene (1, 14). This study aimed to detect the ability of those bacterial isolates from mastitis cases to produce biofilm and identifying its molecular composition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty five milk samples were collected from different regions of Baghdad city from udders of cows suffering from clinical and subclinical mastitis. The udder was washed

directly with tap water to remove dirt then dry with clean towel, the teat dip in Iodine solution 1:1000 and leave to dry than the teat was dip in 70% alcohol than dry, before sample taken one or two streams of milk discarded. Milk was collected in sterile vial (test tube 10 ml). These samples were transfered to the lab. In cooled container. all these samples were cultured firstly on blood agar, then subjected to gram staining, gram positive bacteria were cultured on mannitol salt agar and nutrient agar while gram negative bacteria were cultured on MacConkey agar and EMB agar.Morphological,cultural and Biochemical tests in addition to API 20 E system and Rapid TM one system kit were used to complete and confirm diagnosis of these bacterial isolates. A qualitative assessment of biofilm formation

was determined by tube method (10). In order to detect the sequence of 16 S rRNA genes of these isolates, Extracted DNA was subjected to the different steps of PCR technique. Table (1) show the components of the PCR and Table (2) show the mixture of the specific interaction for diagnosis gene. Specific primers for 16 S rRNA gene were used to detect the sequence Table(3,4,5), and Table (6) show the optimal conditions used to detect 16 S rRNA.

Table 1. The Components of the PCR

Material	Volume
MgCl	4 mM
DATP	400 μM
dGTP	400 μM
dCTP	400 μM
Dttp	400 μΜ
Taq polymerase	2.25 unit

Table 2.Mixture of the specific interaction for diagnosis gene

Tuble 2.1. Interest of the specific interaction for diagnosis gene				
Components	Concentration			
Taq PCR PreMix	5ա1			
Forward primer	10 picomols/μ			
Reverse primer	10 picomols/μ			
DNA	1.5µl			
Distill water	16.5 µl			
Final volume	25µl			

Table 3. The primers used in the interaction The specific primer of gene 16s rRNA of S. aureus

Primer	Sequence	Tm (°C)	GC (%)	Product size
Forward	5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-'3	60.0	68.25 %	1450 base pair
Reverse	5'-GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-'3	62.00	67.59 %	1450 base pair

Table 4. The primers used in the interaction The specific primer of gene 16s rRNA of E.coli

Primer	Sequence	Tm (°C)	GC (%)	Product size
Forward	5'-GCAGCCTTCGTCTTGGTCAG-'3	65.0	64.35 %	1356 base pair
Reverse	5'-AGTTCCGTAGTTATTACAA-'3	67.00	62.78 %	1356 base pair

Table 5.The primers used in the interaction *The specific primer of gene 16s rRNA* of *Klebsiella pneumonia*

Primer	Sequence	Tm (°C)	GC (%)	Product size
Forward	5'-GCAGCCTTCGTCTTGGTCAG-'3	60.0	68.25 %	1345 base pair
Reverse 5	'-AGTTCCGTAGTTATTACAA-'3	62.00	67.59 %	1367 base pair

Table 6.The optimum condition of detection 16s Rrna

No.	Phase	Tm (°C)	Time	No. of cycle
1	Initial Denaturation	94°C	3 min.	
2	Denaturation	94°C	1 sec	
3	Annealing	59°C	1sec	35cycle
4	Extension-1	72 °C	1sec	
5	Extension -2	72 °C	7 min.	

RESULTS AND DESCUSSION

Results of bacterial isolation and diagnosis showed that out of 25 mastatic milk samples were collected from cows suffering from mastitis, 8(32%) were positive to the presence

of *S. aureus* this is come in agreement with (16). after culturing on Manitol salt agar and subjected to various Biochemical tests and Rapid TM One system kit. while 6(24)%,11(44%). of the remmining collected

samples were grow on Macconkey agar and EMB agar, the biochemical tests in addition to API20E system confirmed that these isolates belong to Klebsiella pneumonia and E.coli. -Mohammadi et al.(18). found that 36 out of 206 raw milk samples collected from various cow farms in Kermanshah zoon showed positive results for E.coli (17.47%) Aseel M and AR .Hassan (5). found that 80 out of 96 milk samples were collected from local market in Baghdad contaminated with E.coli (83.9%) and this is disagree with presentresults. On the other hand, Christensen tube method showed that 22(88%) of bacterial isolates from biofilm with the thickness ranged from 0.2 mm to 3 mm. this is in agreement with (16) who showed that 46 of total 56 isolate of Staphylococcus aureus produced (82.14%) while only 10 isolates gave negative results (17.85), (2). Who showed that 50 out of 54 E.coli isolates isolated from milk samples showed the ability to produce biofilm (92.6%) while 4 (7.4%) *E.coli* isolates gave the negative results for it's biofilm production while (26) demonstrated that 32 of 35 S. aureus isolates were produced biofilm (91.42) these results came in agreement with our results percontra (7) found a lower percentage (12%) of biofilm-positive producer strains in 92 bovine strains tested. Genetic identities results showed that *E.coli* isolates matching 100% with the reference *E.coli* strain (c73) in its gene sequence while gene sequencing differ 1% between local isolates of klebsiella Expect Score **Identities** 0.0

1988 bits(1076)

pneumonia and Staphylococcus aureus and the resemble reference strains fig 1,2,3. and these difference in gene sequence lead to Location difference in of Nucleotide Transition and Transversion as showen in table (7,8). The principle of gene expression depend transformation the storage information in gene as sequence of nucleotids then to protein active in some properties like transcription and translation (27). The gene expression happen duo to the structural gene or refer to as citron which is apiece of DNA (3).In gene expression different bacteria tend to form biofilm which are a layer of exopolysacchrides and gene expression for biofilm formation differ according to the inappropriate conditions that are starvation of nutrients and decreasing in PH because biofilm formation are important cause for more infection (27). In the study of Ghafoor and Rahm (12) they found that operon pel and operon psl are responsible for gene expression to form biofilm pseudomonas aeruginosa and operon pel consist of seven genes (PA3058 to PA 3064) which are responsible to expression for exopolysacchrides that are rich in glucose that form the matrix of biofilm.Ma. L.(15) found that operon pel arrange biofilm formation duo to gene expression, while operon psl consist of 15 genes (PA 2231 to PA 2245)that encodes to exopolysacchrides rich in mannose and galactose which are more important in development and differentiation of biofilm.

Strand Gaps 1076/1076(100%) 0/1076(0%) Plus/Plus

 ${\tt CTTGCTGCTTTGCTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGTCTGGGAAACTGCCTGATGG} \ \ 60$

Sbjct 28 CTTGCTGCTTTGCTGACGAGTGGCGGACGGGTGAGTAATGTCTGGGAAACTGCCTGATGG 87

Ouery 61 AGGGGGATAACTACTGGAAACGGTAGCTAATACCGCATAACGTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGG 120

Sbjct 88 AGGGGGATAACTACTGGAAACGGTAGCTAATACCGCATAACGTCGCAAGACCAAAGAGGG 147

Ouery 121 GGACCTTCGGGCCTCTTGCCATCGGATGTGCCCAGATGGGATTAGCTAGTAGGTGGGGTA 180

Sbjct 148 GGACCTTCGGGCCTCTTGCCATCGGATGTGCCCAGATGGGATTAGCTAGTAGGTGGGGTA 207

Query 181 ACGGCTCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGATGACCAGCCACACTGGAAC 240

Sbjct 208 ACGGCTCACCTAGGCGACGATCCCTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGATGACCAGCCACACTGGAAC 267

Fig1. Escherichia coli strain c73 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequenceSequenceID: gb|JQ781567.1|

		` '		
Score 1827 bits(989)	Expect 0.0	Identities 1007/1016(99%)	Gaps 0/1016(0%)	Strand Plus/Plus
Query 1 CTCTCGGG		GGACGGGTGAGTAATGTC	CTGGGAAACTGCC	TGATGGAGGGG 60
Sbjet 3 CTCTCGGGT	GACGAGCGGCC	GGACGGGTGAGTAATGTC	ГGGGAAACTGCCТ	GATGGAGGGG 62
Query 61 GATAACTA		FAGCTAATACCGCATAATC	GTCGCAAGACCAA	AGTGGGGGACC 120
		AGCTAATACCGCATAATG	TCGCAAGACCAA	AGTGGGGGACC 122
Query 121 TTCGGGCC		AGATGTGCCCAGATGGGA	ГТАGCTAGTAGGT	GGGGTAACGGC 180
		GATGTGCCCAGATGGGAT	TAGCTAGTAGGTO	GGGGTAACGGC 182
Query 181 TCACCTAC		CTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGA'	TGACCAGCCACAG	CTGGAACTGAGA 240
Fig 2. Klebsiella pr	neumoniae stra	nin Apf-15 16S ribosoma	l RNA gene, par	tial sequenceSequence
G E		ID: gb KT944736.1		C4 1
Score E: 1838 bits(995) 0.	-P	entities Ga 015/1025(99%) 0/1	*	Strand Plus/Plus
1636 bits(773) 0.	0 10	(13/1023(77/0) 0/1	1023(070)	1 105/1 105
		CGAGAAGCTTGCTTCTCTG		
Sbjct 1 TGCAGTCGA	GCGAACGGAC	GAGAAGCTTGCTTCTCTGA	ATGTTAGCGGCGG	ACGGGTGAGT 60
Query 61 AACACGTG		CTATAAGACTGGGATAACT	TTCGGGAAACCGC	GAGCTAATACCG 120
		ΓΑΤΑΑGACTGGGATAACT	TCGGGAAACCGG	AGCTAATACCG 120
Query 121 GATAATAT		A <mark>G</mark> GGTTCAAAAGTGAAAG	ACGGTCTTGCTGT	CACTTATAGAT 180
		<mark>T</mark> GGTTCAAAAGTGAAAGA	.CGGTCTTGCTGTC	CACTTATAGAT 180
Query 181 GGATCCGC	CGCTGCA <mark>G</mark> TAG	CTAGTTGGTAAGGTAACG	GCTTACCAAGGC	AACGATGCATAG 240

Sbjct 241 CCGACCTGAGAGGGTGATCGGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGA 300 Fig 3. Staphylococcus aureus strain TSA-2 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequenceSequence

Sbjct 181 GGATCCGCGCTGCATTAGCTAGTTGGTAAGGTAACGGCTTACCAAGGCAACGATGCATAG 240

Query 241 CCGACCTGAGAGGGTGATCGGCCACACTGGAACTGAGACACGGTCCAGACTCCTACGGGA 300

Table 7.differences in location of Nucleotides of *Klebsiella pneumonia*..

ID: gb|KR265472.1|

sample	Type of substitution	Location	Nucleotide	Range of nucleotide	Sequence ID
	Transition	196	A>G		
	Transition	412	A>G	3 to 1018	gb KT944736.1
Klebsiellapneumoniae	Transversion	579	T>G		
	Transversion	618	T>G		
	Transition	645	A>G		
	Transition	740	T>A		
	Transversion	828	C>A		
	Transversion	947	T>G		

Table 8.differences in location of Nucleotides of Staphylococcus aureus						
sample	Type of substitution	Location	Nucleotide	Range of nucleotide	Sequence ID	
	Transversion	140	G>T		gb KR265472.1	
	Transversion	195	G>T			
	Transition	389	A>G	1 to 1025 gb		
	Transition	431	C>T			
Staphylococcus	Transition	478	A>G			
aureus	Transition	805	A>G			
	Transversion	852	C>A			
	Transition	866	G>A			
	Transition	899	A>G			
	Transversion	995	C>G			

Table & differences in location of Nucleotides of Stanbulgeone

REFERENCES

- 1. Alexander, W.T., S.R. Cook, L. J. Yanke, W. C. Booker, S.P. Morley, R. R. Read, P.S. Gow, and A.T. McAllister 2008. A multiplex polymerase chain reaction assay for the identification of Mannheimia haemolytica, glucosida and Mannheimia Mannheimia ruminalis. Veterinary icrobiology,130,165-175.
- 2. AL-Tabagchally, B. N., 2015. Detection of Biofilm Formed By Escherichia Coli Isolated From Various Animal Diseases And Evaluate It's Protective Role.M.Sc. Thesis College – University of Baghdad pp:125-127
- 3. Amaral, P.P., M.E. Dinger, T.R.Mercer and J.S.Mattic. 2008. The eukaryotic genome as an RNA machine . science;319(5871):1787-9
- 4. Anderson, G.G., C.C. Goller, S. Justice, S.J. Hultgren and P.C. Seed.2010. Polysacchride capsule and sialic acid - mediated regulation promote biofilm – like intracellular bacterial communities durin cystitis . Infect Immun 78(3):963-975.
- 5. Aseel, M., A.R. and Hassan 2014. Antimicrobial effect of alcoholic and water extracts of Thymus Vulgaris and Hibiscus sabdariffa on different bacteria isolated from raw cow milk. International journal of Advance Research 2 (4):163-167
- 6. Bannerman, D.D; J.M. Paape,.; J Weilee,.; X. Zhao, ; C.J. Hope, and P. Rainard. 2004. Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus elicit differential innate immune response intramammary infection .Clinical following Diagnosis Laboratory Immunology. and ,11(3):463 -472
- 7. Baselga, R.; I. Albizu; M. De. La. Cruz; E. Del. Cacho; M. Barberan, and B.Amorena, 1993. Phase variation of slime production in

- Staphylococcus aureus: implications in colonization and virulence. Inf. Imm. 61. 4857-4862
- 8. Bradley, A.J. and M.J. Green 2001. Adaptation of Escherichia coli to the bovine mammary gland . J Clin Microbial :1845-1849
- 9. Bridier, A. and F. Dubois -Brissonnet. 2010. The biofilm architecture of sixsty opportunistic pathogens deciphered using a high throughput CLSM method . J Microbiol methods 82: 64 - 70
- 10. Christensen, G.D.; W.A. Simpson,; A. L. Bisno, and E.H. Beachey, 1982. Adherence of slime-producing strains of Staphylococcus epidermidis to smooth surfaces. Infect Immun; 37:318-26
- 11. Corney, B.G., I.S. Diallo, L.L. Wright, G.R. Hewitson, A.J. De Jong, P.C. Burrell, P.F. Duffy, C.P. Stephens, B.J. Rodwell, D.B. Boyle, and P.J. Blackall, 2007. Pasteurella multocida detection by 50 Tag nuclease assay: a new tool for use in diagnosing fowl cholera. J. Microbiol. Meth. 69, 376–380
- 12. Ghafoor ,A, jordens Z.and B.H. Rehm. 2013. Role of Pelf in pel polysaccharide Biosynthesis in pseudomonas aeruginosa Atplied and environmental microbiology;79 :2968-2978
- 13. Kaper, J.B., J. P. Nataro . and H.L.T. Mobley, 2004. Pathogenic Escherichia coli. Nat. Rev. Microbial 2:123-140.
- 14. Kumar, J., K.S. Dixit, and R. Kumar, 2015.Rapid detection of Mannheimia haemolytica in lung tissues of sheep and from bacterial culture. Veterinary World, 8,9,1073-1077

- 15. Ma, L., Lu,H., A. Sprinkle, M.R. Parsek, , and D.J. Wozniak, 2007.pseudomonas aeruginosa Psl is a galactose and mannoserich exopolysacchride.J Bacteriol; PP:189:8353-8356
- 16. Mae'da, A.Y. 2013. Study Comparative Protection of Biofilm Produced by Staphlococcus aureus with other it's Antigen, M. Sc. Thesis College of Veterinary Medicine Baghdad University PP:78-79
- 17. Melchior, M.B and J. Fink-Gremmels 2007. Extended antimicrobial susceptibility assay for *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from bovine mastitis growing in biofilms. Vet Microbiol 125(1-2):141-149
- 18. Mohammadi, P., R. Abiri and M. Rezaei .2013. Isolation of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* from raw milk in Kermanshah, Iran. Iranian J. of Microb, Sep.5(3):233.
- 19. Oltenacu, P.A. and I. Ekesbo, 1994. Epidemiological study of clinical mastitis in dairy cattle. Vet. Res., 25 (2-3):208-212.
- 20. Percival, S. L., J. Walker and P. Hunter. 2000. Microbiological Aspects of Biofilms and Drinking Water . CRC press , New York pp:233-235
- 21. percival, S.L.; S.Malic; H.Cruz, and D.Williams. 2011. Introduction to Biofilms. J. of Biofilms and Vetrinary Medicine, Springer

- Series on Biofilms 6,DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-21289-52,c Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg pp:125-128
- 22. Radostits, O. M., C. C. Gay , K. W. Hinchcliff and P. D. Constable. 2007 . Veterinary Medicine , Textbook of the diseases of cattle , Horses, Sheep, Pigs and Goats . 10 th ed., Philadelphia , Saunders Elsevier pp:1234-1256.
- 23. Sabiel, Y.A., M.T. Musa, and V. Z. Ann. 2012. Identification of Mannhemia haemolytica and Pasteurella multocida by polymerase chain reaction and random amplification of polymorphic DNA. Sud .J.Vet Res. 27,55-59.
- 24. Sharma, G.; S.Rao; S. Dang; S. Gupta, and R. Gabrani, 2014. Pseudomonas *aeruginosa* biofilm :potential therapeutic targets .J.Biologicals ;42(1):1-7
- 25. Townsend, K. M., A. J. Frost, C. W. Lee, J. M. Papadimitriou, and H. J.S. Dawkins;1998. Clin. Microbiol.J.36,1096-1100
- 26. Vasudevan, P.; M.K.M. Nair; T. Annamalai, and K.S. Venkitanarayanan, 2003. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of bovine mastitis isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* for biofilm formation. Vet. Microbiol. 92, 179–185
- 27. Yihan Lin and Michael B.Elowitz .2016.Central Dogma Goes digital, Molecular cell;61(3):791-792.