

## EFFECT AZOTOBACTER, MYCORRHIZAE, VERMICOMPOST, AND NPK SPRAYING ON PRODUCTION OF CV HALAWANI GRAPES.

Hussein. A. H. AL-Mamoori <sup>1</sup>✉ A.T. JOODY <sup>2</sup>✉

<sup>1</sup> Department Hort. and Landscape Gard. / College of Agricultural Engin Science, University of Baghdad

### ABSTRACT

This experiment was carried out in one of the orchards of Diyala on grape vines, during two seasons 2022 - 2023, to study the effect of adding bacterial and fungal biofertilizers , vermicompost fertilizer, and foliar applied mineral fertilizer NPK on growth and production traits on cv. Halawani grape trees. The experiment was carried out a randomized complete block design within a split plot and three factors with three replicates, using 72 trees. The first factor included the addition of biofertilizers which included: without inoculation (M0), inoculation with 400 g of fungi (M1).Inoculation with 200 g of bacterial (M2) and inoculation with both bacteria and fungi (M3). The second factor included the addition of organic fertilizer with three treatments, without addition (N0), adding 5 kg/ tree<sup>-1</sup> (N1) and adding 7 kg/tree<sup>-1</sup> (N2), and the third factor included foliar applied mineral fertilizer NPK as two treatment, without spraying (F0) and Spraying with 2.5 ml L<sup>-1</sup> of fertilizer (F1). Four foliar applications were applied at 30 day intervals when leaves reached full expansion. Results showed significant impact by the triple interaction treatment M3N2F1 on production traits represented by number of clusters in vine, cluster weight, total vine yield, 100 berries weight, and total sugar, by producing highest (49.16, 63.00 vine cluster<sup>-1</sup>, 761.3, 964.8 g, 37.41, 60.71 kg vine<sup>-1</sup> , 568.20, 679.00 g, and 19.24% ,17.72%), respectively for both seasons.

**Key words:** bacteria,biofertilizers, chemical fertilizer, fungi, organic.

\*Part of Ph.D. dissertation of the 1<sup>st</sup> author.



Copyright© 2025. The Author (s). Published by College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad. This is an open-access article distributed under the term of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cite.

**Received: 14/1/2024, Accepted: 24/4/2024, Published: 26/1/2026**

### INTRODUCTION

Commercial grapes *Vitis vinifera* L. belong to the genus *Vitis* it is one of 14 genera belonging to the grape family *vitaceae* (AL-Saidi, 2000),Grapes are one of the most important types of fruits for humans and the oldest and most widespread in various parts of the world due the ability to grow in various environmental conditions in addition to their high nutritional value.( Georgiev et al., 2014),The latest statistics from the Food and Agriculture Organization FAO(FAO, 2022), showed The global production of grapes was estimated at 73,524,196.23 tons, Grape fruits are considered to have high nutritional value in that they contain sugars, organic acids,

vitamins, fats, proteins, mineral salts, etc.( Zhou et al., 2022). Biofertilizers are added to the soil near the root system of the plant for the increasing nutrients and enhancing soil fertility by fixing atmospheric nitrogen or dissolving phosphorus and some enzymes and phytohormones and their importance in biological control and considered environmentally friendly, Its enhances Plant growth and productivity (Daniel et al., 2022), Azotobacter, has the ability to fix nitrogen and product of the plant hormones. In addition, they stimulate beneficial rhizosphere microbes close to the roots of plants and protect the plant from pathogens .(Sumbul et al., 2020), Soil microorganisms, including Mycorrhiza,

play a key role in soil fertility and biodiversity, forming a mutualistic bond with plants and enhancing the absorption of nutrients and thus enhancing plant growth, productivity and tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses such as temperature, salinity and drought. (Fasusi et al., 2023). Organic matter is a source of food for beneficial soil organisms such as worms the earth. In addition to its role in improving the physical characteristics of the soil (Havlin et al., 2005), Vermicompost helps improve soil structure, texture, porosity, water retention, drainage, and aeration, in addition to reducing soil erosion, enhances soil microbial activity, and reduces the occurrence of pests and diseases in plants (Makkar et al., 2023). It is considered foliar fertilization is a measure of increase in productivity and quality, foliar fertilizers provide an excess of fertilizing elements especially large items NPK, which allows plants to grow under the right conditions and resist stress factors (Murtaza et al., 2022), the study aims to improving yield characteristics in quantity and quality.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out in a private grapes orchard located in Diyala district during the (2022 - 2023) growing season on 15 of years age Halawani cultivars, growing distance between vines was (1.5) m and between line (5) m, vines were trellised on wires. Identical vines were randomly selected, and winter pruning of these trees was conducted at the beginning of January, leaving (10) canes for every tree and (8) eyes for each stalk. Service operations, such as irrigation, weeding, and insect control, were carried out equally for all

treatments. Some of the leaves were also removed by a process called leafing twice during one season, and watery branches were also removed for all treatments, in order to study the effect of bacterial and fungal biofertilizer and compost, organic vermicompost and foliar of NPK and their interactions on characteristics of the production yield grape trees of Halawani cultivars. The research was carried out with three factors and three replications, with one tree for every experimental unit, total number of experimental trees was 72 trees. The first factor was biofertilizer and its symbol (M). With four levels (M0 without addition and M1 400 g/tree-1 mycorrhiza M2 adding 200 g/tree<sup>-1</sup> Azotobacter bacteria and M3 interaction between bacteria and fungi), the second factor was adding of application organic vermicompost and was given the symbol (N) and three levels (0, 5 kg/tree<sup>-1</sup> and 7 kg/tree<sup>-1</sup>) and the third factor was foliar applied NPK it was given letter (F) at two concentrations (0 and 2.5 g/L<sup>-1</sup>). The experiment was carried out with a completely randomized block design (RCBD) according to the arrangement of split plots, the main plots included biofertilizers and the secondary plots included the interaction between vermicompost and mineral fertilizer. The data was analyzed using the program Genstat, the least significant differences (LSD) were tested at a probability level of 0.05 to compare arithmetic averages. The following parameters were measured: Number of clusters (cluster vine<sup>-1</sup>), Cluster weight (g), Total yield (kg vine<sup>-1</sup>), 100 Berries weight (g), and Total sugar (%).

**Table 1. Some physical and chemical properties of field soil**

Adjective	pH	EC	OM	CEC	N	P	K	sand	Alluvial	Clay
2022 season	7.21	2.64	6.39	18.6	23.65	5.97	112.5	415.00	277.00	308.00
2023 season	7.32	2.73	7.01	19.8	24.18	6.13	116.7	417.00	273.00	310.00
measuring unit	---	DC Siemens M <sup>-1</sup>	g. kg <sup>-1</sup>	Cmol+Kg-1	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	g. kg <sup>-1</sup>	g. kg <sup>-1</sup>	g. kg <sup>-1</sup>

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Number of clusters:** The results of Table (2) show that M3 treatment has a significant impact by producing the highest rate 42.88 and 53.17 cluster vine<sup>-1</sup>, while treatment M0, produced gave 26.41 and 40.42 cluster vine<sup>-1</sup> for both seasons sequentially. Regarding vermicompost, the results showed a significant impact of the treatment N2, which produced the highest rate of 35.29 and 50.62 cluster vine<sup>-1</sup>, compared to N0, which produced 29.50 and 41.04 cluster vine<sup>-1</sup> for both seasons sequentially. F1 had significant impact by spraying with mineral fertilizer (NPK) which had highest rate of 34.18 and 49.49 cluster vine<sup>-1</sup> compared to the F0 treatment which reached 30.72 and 43.46 cluster vine<sup>-1</sup> for the two seasons. Table (2) also show that the M3N2 treatment excelled on the rest of the treatments by producing the highest (44.41 and 58.75 cluster vine<sup>-1</sup>) compared to the treatment M0N0 which produced the lowest 23.75 and 35.00 cluster vine<sup>-1</sup> respectively. It was observed that M3F1 treatment produced 42.88 and 57.33 cluster vine<sup>-1</sup> respectively, while the treatments M0F0 produced lowest 25.00, 38.17 cluster vine<sup>-1</sup> respectively, while the treatment N2F1 treatment produced the highest rate 37.79 and 53.38 cluster vine<sup>-1</sup> while the treatments N0F0 produced lowest rate 28.25 and 37.25 cluster vine<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Table (3) reveals the interaction treatments achieved a significant effect on these traits, and the M3N2F1 treatment was characterized by producing the highest (49.16 and 63.00 cluster vine<sup>-1</sup>), compared to the comparison treatment M0N0F0, which control the lowest rate 22.00 and 31.50 cluster vine<sup>-1</sup> for both seasons, respectively.

**Cluster weight (g):** The results of Table (2) show that M3 had significant effect by producing 658.4 g and 813.8 g than the M0 treatment, which was 591.8 g and 654.2 g respectively. The fertilization with

vermicompost treatment N2 had significant effect by producing 651.5 g and 773.8 g, while the treatment N0 had 587.7 g and 657.5 g, spraying with NPK treatment F1 had significant effect, by producing 643.9 g and 752.3 g, compared with F0 which had 595.0 g and 681.0 g for both seasons. Table (2) also show that the M3N2 treatment excelled on the rest of the treatments in Cluster weight by giving it the highest values 704.9 g, 883.3 g compared to the treatment M0N0 which produced the lowest 551.5 g, 600.8 g seasons respectively, it was observed that the found in M3F1 treatment 689.8 g, 876.3 g, seasons respectively, while the treatments M0F0 gave lowest 565.6 g, 626.1 g, respectively, While the treatment N2F1 treatment produced the highest rate 682.6 g, 807.7 g, while the treatments N0F0 gave lowest rate 563.3 g, 631.1 g seasons respectively. Table (3) as for the triple interactions of the treatments, the results showed that there were significant differences in the percentage of dry weight for the treatment M3N2F1 gave the highest values 761.3 g, 964.8 g, followed by, compared to the control treatment M0N0F0 which gave the lowest values 514.9 g and 567.6 g respectively.

**Total yield (kg tree<sup>-1</sup>):** The results of Table (2) show that M3 had significant effect on total yield by producing 26.65 kg tree<sup>-1</sup> and 43.77 kg tree<sup>-1</sup> compared with M0, which produced 15.72 kg tree<sup>-1</sup> and 26.65 kg tree<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The results also show that adding vermicompost led to significant differences, as the N2 treatment had significant effect by producing 23.26 kg tree<sup>-1</sup> and 39.66 kg tree<sup>-1</sup>, compared with N0 which produced 17.49 kg tree<sup>-1</sup> and 27.32 kg tree<sup>-1</sup>. As for spraying with the mineral fertilizer F1, had significant effect by producing 22.25 kg tree<sup>-1</sup> and 37.78 kg tree<sup>-1</sup>, compared to the F0 treatment, which produced 18.43 kg tree<sup>-1</sup> and 29.96 kg tree<sup>-1</sup> for both seasons. Table (2) also show that the M3N2 treatment excelled on the rest of the

treatments in total yield by producing highest 31.55kg tree<sup>-1</sup>, 52.23 kg tree<sup>-1</sup> compared to the treatment M0N0 which produced the lowest 13.16 kg tree<sup>-1</sup>, 21.14 kg tree<sup>-1</sup> seasons respectively, it was observed that the found in M3F1 treatment 29.83 kg tree<sup>-1</sup>, 50.48 kg tree<sup>-1</sup>, seasons respectively, while the treatments M0F0 produced lowest 14.21 kg tree<sup>-1</sup>, 24.10 kg tree<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, While the treatment N2F1 treatment produced the highest rate 26.10 kg tree<sup>-1</sup>, 43.70 kg tree<sup>-1</sup>, while the treatments N0F0 produced lowest rate 16.08 kg tree<sup>-1</sup>, 23.68 kg tree<sup>-1</sup>, seasons respectively. The triple interaction between the study factors significantly affected the total yield of one vine, as the results showed the superiority of the treatment M3N2F1 was significantly higher by producing highest average weight of 37.41 kg tree<sup>-1</sup> and 60.77 kg tree<sup>-1</sup> compared to the control treatment M0N0F0, which gave the lowest average of 11.32 kg tree<sup>-1</sup> and 17.88 kg tree<sup>-1</sup> for the first and second seasons.

**100 berries Weight (g):** The results of Table (2) indicate that the study factors led to a significant increase in the 100 berries weight, as it is noted that the M3 had a significant impact by producing 517.01 g and 610.44 g compared treatment M0 which produced a rate of 492.12 g and 529.39 g, , the treatment of vermicompost N2 had a significant impact by giving 514.26 g, and 588.67 g compared to N0 treatment, which produced a lower average of 489.09 g and 527.46 g, as for spraying with NPK mineral fertilizer, the treatment F1 had a significant impact by producing 510.27 g and 577.69 g compared to the F0 treatment, which produced 492.51 g and 540.11 g for the first and second seasons, respectively. Table (2) also show that the M3N2 treatment excelled on the rest of the treatments by producing it the highest (539.15 g, 645.83g), while the M1N0 treatment did not produced a significant difference for the first seasons ,compared to the treatment M0N0 which produced the

lowest (480.28 g, 504.33 g) for both seasons respectively, it was observed that the found in M3F1 treatment 530.06g, 645.11g seasons respectively, while the treatments M0F0 produced lowest 485.00 g, 517.22 g respectively, while the treatment N2F1 treatment produced the highest rate 525.77 g, 572.75 g, while the treatments N0F0 produced lowest rate 479.36 g, 512.33 g seasons respectively. Table (3) As for the triple interactions, the results indicate that there are significant differences between the averages of the treatments, as the treatment excelled M3N2F1 produced the highest (568.20g and 679.00 g), compared to the control treatment M0N0F0, which produced a lower average of 468.97g and 489.00 for both seasons, respectively.

**Total sugar (%):** Show the results of the table (2) show that treatment M3 is significant in the total sugars by producing the highest 16.06 % and 15.45%, comparing with control M0, which produced 13.88% and 13.27%. There are also significant differences for the N2 vermicompost treatment, which produced 15.55% and 14.92%, compared to the N0, which produced 14.25% and 13.75%. It is also noted that the F1 treatment with mineral fertilizer spraying was significantly excelled, producing the highest (15.30% and 14.75%), compared to F0 treatment, which produced a lower 14.43% and 13.90% two both seasons, respectively. Table (2) also show that the M3N2 treatment excelled on the rest of the treatments in total sugars by producing the highest values 17.50%, 16.43%, compared to the treatment M0N0 which produced the lowest 13.50%, 12.90% seasons respectively, it was observed that the found in M3F1 treatment 16.86%, 16.05% respectively, while the treatments M0F0 produced lowest 13.64%, 12.93% respectively, while the treatment N2F1 produced the highest rate 16.24%, 15.48%, while the treatments N0F0 produced

lowest rate 14.06%, 13.57% seasons respectively. The results of the triple interference of the study factors in the Table (3) above indicated that there were significant differences for the triple interference treatment

M3N2F1 for produced the highest rate 19.24% and 17.72%, compared to the control treatment M0N0F0, which produced a lower rate 13.09% and 12.39% for both seasons.

**Table 2. Effect of Azotobacter, Mycorrhiza, vermicompost, and foliar NPK and their interaction productive traits for the cv. Halawani grape for the seasons 2022 and 2023**

Treatment	clusters Number (Vine cluster <sup>-1</sup> )		cluster weight (g)	total yield (kg/vine)		100 berries weight (g)		Total Sugars	
	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022
<b>M0</b>	<b>26.41</b>	<b>40.42</b>	<b>591.8</b>	<b>654.2</b>	<b>15.72</b>	<b>26.65</b>	<b>492.12</b>	<b>529.39</b>	<b>13.88</b>
<b>M1</b>	<b>30.16</b>	<b>44.17</b>	<b>604.2</b>	<b>685.3</b>	<b>18.32</b>	<b>30.46</b>	<b>492.88</b>	<b>542.61</b>	<b>14.93</b>
<b>M2</b>	<b>33.08</b>	<b>48.14</b>	<b>623.4</b>	<b>713.2</b>	<b>20.68</b>	<b>34.60</b>	<b>503.55</b>	<b>553.17</b>	<b>14.58</b>
<b>M3</b>	<b>40.13</b>	<b>53.17</b>	<b>658.4</b>	<b>813.8</b>	<b>26.65</b>	<b>43.77</b>	<b>517.01</b>	<b>610.44</b>	<b>16.06</b>
<b>LSD5%</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>1.49</b>	<b>12.88</b>	<b>16.94</b>	<b>0.50</b>	<b>1.25</b>	<b>4.65</b>	<b>11.36</b>	<b>0.38</b>
<b>N0</b>	<b>29.50</b>	<b>41.04</b>	<b>587.7</b>	<b>657.5</b>	<b>17.49</b>	<b>27.32</b>	<b>489.09</b>	<b>527.46</b>	<b>14.25</b>
<b>N1</b>	<b>32.56</b>	<b>47.75</b>	<b>619.2</b>	<b>718.6</b>	<b>20.28</b>	<b>34.63</b>	<b>500.82</b>	<b>560.58</b>	<b>14.78</b>
<b>N2</b>	<b>35.29</b>	<b>50.62</b>	<b>651.5</b>	<b>773.8</b>	<b>23.26</b>	<b>39.66</b>	<b>514.26</b>	<b>588.67</b>	<b>15.55</b>
<b>LSD5%</b>	<b>0.53</b>	<b>0.54</b>	<b>6.49</b>	<b>6.42</b>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>0.55</b>	<b>3.34</b>	<b>4.01</b>	<b>0.25</b>
<b>F0</b>	<b>30.72</b>	<b>43.46</b>	<b>595.06</b>	<b>681.0</b>	<b>18.43</b>	<b>29.96</b>	<b>492.51</b>	<b>540.11</b>	<b>14.43</b>
<b>F1</b>	<b>34.18</b>	<b>49.49</b>	<b>643.90</b>	<b>752.3</b>	<b>22.25</b>	<b>37.78</b>	<b>510.27</b>	<b>577.69</b>	<b>15.30</b>
<b>LSD5%</b>	<b>0.32</b>	<b>0.52</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>6.12</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>2.29</b>	<b>4.12</b>	<b>0.19</b>
<b>M0N0</b>	<b>23.75</b>	<b>35.00</b>	<b>551.5</b>	<b>600.8</b>	<b>13.16</b>	<b>21.14</b>	<b>480.28</b>	<b>504.33</b>	<b>13.50</b>
<b>M0N1</b>	<b>27.00</b>	<b>42.00</b>	<b>601.1</b>	<b>662.5</b>	<b>16.24</b>	<b>27.86</b>	<b>493.85</b>	<b>533.67</b>	<b>13.95</b>
<b>M0N2</b>	<b>28.50</b>	<b>44.25</b>	<b>622.8</b>	<b>699.4</b>	<b>17.76</b>	<b>30.96</b>	<b>502.23</b>	<b>550.17</b>	<b>14.19</b>
<b>M1N0</b>	<b>27.25</b>	<b>39.00</b>	<b>571.8</b>	<b>634.1</b>	<b>15.61</b>	<b>24.76</b>	<b>475.68</b>	<b>517.33</b>	<b>14.40</b>
<b>M1N1</b>	<b>30.50</b>	<b>46.25</b>	<b>605.8</b>	<b>689.8</b>	<b>18.51</b>	<b>31.99</b>	<b>496.23</b>	<b>543.67</b>	<b>14.89</b>
<b>M1N2</b>	<b>32.75</b>	<b>47.25</b>	<b>634.9</b>	<b>732.0</b>	<b>20.83</b>	<b>34.63</b>	<b>506.72</b>	<b>566.83</b>	<b>15.50</b>
<b>M2N0</b>	<b>31.25</b>	<b>42.67</b>	<b>605.8</b>	<b>655.5</b>	<b>18.93</b>	<b>27.97</b>	<b>498.02</b>	<b>519.50</b>	<b>14.11</b>
<b>M2N1</b>	<b>32.50</b>	<b>49.50</b>	<b>621.1</b>	<b>703.6</b>	<b>20.22</b>	<b>35.01</b>	<b>503.68</b>	<b>548.17</b>	<b>14.65</b>
<b>M2N2</b>	<b>35.50</b>	<b>52.25</b>	<b>643.4</b>	<b>780.4</b>	<b>22.90</b>	<b>40.83</b>	<b>508.95</b>	<b>591.83</b>	<b>14.99</b>
<b>M3N0</b>	<b>35.75</b>	<b>47.50</b>	<b>621.5</b>	<b>739.7</b>	<b>22.26</b>	<b>35.41</b>	<b>502.37</b>	<b>568.67</b>	<b>15.02</b>
<b>M3N1</b>	<b>40.25</b>	<b>53.25</b>	<b>648.7</b>	<b>818.3</b>	<b>26.15</b>	<b>43.65</b>	<b>509.50</b>	<b>616.83</b>	<b>15.65</b>
<b>M3N2</b>	<b>44.41</b>	<b>58.75</b>	<b>704.9</b>	<b>883.3</b>	<b>31.55</b>	<b>52.23</b>	<b>539.15</b>	<b>645.83</b>	<b>17.50</b>
<b>LSD5%</b>	<b>1.05</b>	<b>1.61</b>	<b>15.34</b>	<b>18.46</b>	<b>0.64</b>	<b>1.42</b>	<b>6.65</b>	<b>12.20</b>	<b>0.52</b>
<b>M0F0</b>	<b>25.00</b>	<b>38.17</b>	<b>565.6</b>	<b>626.1</b>	<b>14.21</b>	<b>24.10</b>	<b>485.00</b>	<b>517.22</b>	<b>13.64</b>
<b>M0F1</b>	<b>27.83</b>	<b>42.67</b>	<b>618.0</b>	<b>682.3</b>	<b>17.23</b>	<b>29.21</b>	<b>499.24</b>	<b>541.56</b>	<b>14.12</b>
<b>M1F0</b>	<b>28.83</b>	<b>41.33</b>	<b>580.7</b>	<b>658.0</b>	<b>16.80</b>	<b>27.31</b>	<b>482.27</b>	<b>528.67</b>	<b>14.55</b>
<b>M1F1</b>	<b>31.50</b>	<b>47.00</b>	<b>627.6</b>	<b>712.6</b>	<b>19.83</b>	<b>33.62</b>	<b>503.49</b>	<b>556.56</b>	<b>15.31</b>
<b>M2F0</b>	<b>31.66</b>	<b>45.33</b>	<b>606.9</b>	<b>688.4</b>	<b>19.23</b>	<b>31.38</b>	<b>498.80</b>	<b>538.78</b>	<b>14.29</b>
<b>M2F1</b>	<b>34.50</b>	<b>50.94</b>	<b>640.0</b>	<b>738.0</b>	<b>22.13</b>	<b>37.83</b>	<b>508.30</b>	<b>567.56</b>	<b>14.87</b>
<b>M3F0</b>	<b>37.38</b>	<b>49.00</b>	<b>626.9</b>	<b>751.3</b>	<b>23.48</b>	<b>37.05</b>	<b>503.96</b>	<b>575.78</b>	<b>15.22</b>
<b>M3F1</b>	<b>42.88</b>	<b>57.33</b>	<b>689.8</b>	<b>876.3</b>	<b>29.83</b>	<b>50.48</b>	<b>530.06</b>	<b>645.11</b>	<b>16.89</b>
<b>LSD5%</b>	<b>0.79</b>	<b>1.57</b>	<b>13.35</b>	<b>17.84</b>	<b>0.58</b>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>5.24</b>	<b>11.98</b>	<b>0.43</b>
<b>N0F0</b>	<b>28.25</b>	<b>37.25</b>	<b>563.3</b>	<b>631.1</b>	<b>16.08</b>	<b>23.68</b>	<b>479.36</b>	<b>512.33</b>	<b>14.06</b>
<b>N0F1</b>	<b>30.75</b>	<b>44.83</b>	<b>612.0</b>	<b>683.9</b>	<b>18.90</b>	<b>30.96</b>	<b>498.82</b>	<b>542.58</b>	<b>14.44</b>
<b>N1F0</b>	<b>31.12</b>	<b>45.25</b>	<b>601.4</b>	<b>671.9</b>	<b>18.80</b>	<b>30.57</b>	<b>495.40</b>	<b>535.25</b>	<b>14.36</b>
<b>N1F1</b>	<b>34.00</b>	<b>50.25</b>	<b>637.0</b>	<b>765.2</b>	<b>21.76</b>	<b>38.68</b>	<b>506.23</b>	<b>585.92</b>	<b>15.20</b>
<b>N2F0</b>	<b>32.79</b>	<b>47.88</b>	<b>620.3</b>	<b>739.8</b>	<b>20.42</b>	<b>35.62</b>	<b>502.76</b>	<b>572.75</b>	<b>14.86</b>
<b>N2F1</b>	<b>37.79</b>	<b>53.38</b>	<b>682.6</b>	<b>807.7</b>	<b>26.10</b>	<b>43.70</b>	<b>525.77</b>	<b>604.58</b>	<b>16.24</b>
<b>LSD5%</b>	<b>0.64</b>	<b>0.81</b>	<b>7.95</b>	<b>9.55</b>	<b>0.43</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>4.22</b>	<b>6.25</b>	<b>0.33</b>

Note that: M1= Mycorrhiza M2=Azotobacter M3= (Mycorrhiza + Azotobacter), N1= Vermicompost 5 kg/tree-1 N2=Vermicompost 7 kg/tree-1, F1= Spraying with fertilizer NPK (2.5 ml/L-1).

**Table 3. Effect of Azotobacter, Mycorrhiza, vermicompost, and foliar NPK and their interaction between them on productive traits for the cv. Halawani grape for the seasons 2022 and 2023**

Treatment	clusters Number (Vine cluster <sup>-1</sup> )		cluster weight (g)		total yield (kg/vine)		100 berries weight (g)		Total sugars	
	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023
M0N0F0	22.00	31.50	514.9	567.6	11.32	17.88	468.97	489.00	13.09	12.39
M0N0F1	25.50	38.50	588.1	634.1	15.00	24.41	491.60	519.67	13.90	13.41
M0N1F0	26.00	41.00	584.0	621.5	15.19	25.47	489.93	517.00	13.88	12.97
M0N1F1	28.00	43.00	618.1	703.4	17.29	30.24	497.77	550.33	14.02	13.59
M0N2F0	27.00	42.00	597.8	689.4	16.12	28.94	496.10	545.67	13.96	13.44
M0N2F1	30.00	46.50	647.8	709.4	19.40	32.98	508.37	554.67	14.43	13.84
M1N0F0	26.50	34.50	538.2	624.8	14.26	21.55	455.47	514.67	14.33	14.09
M1N0F1	28.00	43.50	605.4	643.3	16.95	27.98	495.90	520.00	14.47	14.24
M1N1F0	29.00	44.50	587.2	638.7	17.03	28.41	488.47	518.00	14.38	14.12
M1N1F1	32.00	48.00	624.4	740.9	20.00	35.57	504.00	569.33	15.39	14.98
M1N2F0	31.00	45.00	616.7	710.4	19.12	31.96	502.87	553.33	14.94	14.59
M1N2F1	34.50	49.50	653.2	753.5	22.54	37.30	510.57	580.33	16.06	15.53
M2N0F0	30.50	40.50	596.2	648.1	18.18	26.24	495.47	517.67	13.96	13.64
M2N0F1	32.00	44.83	615.4	662.9	19.69	29.70	500.57	521.33	14.26	13.82
M2N1F0	31.00	45.50	606.0	659.5	18.78	30.01	498.97	519.33	14.17	13.75
M2N1F1	34.00	53.50	636.3	747.8	21.66	40.01	508.40	577.00	15.13	14.57
M2N2F0	33.50	50.00	618.6	757.7	20.74	37.89	501.97	579.33	14.76	14.29
M2N2F1	37.50	54.50	668.2	803.2	25.06	43.77	515.93	604.33	15.22	14.85
M3N0F0	34.00	42.50	604.1	684.1	20.55	29.07	497.53	528.00	14.88	14.16
M3N0F1	37.50	52.50	639.0	795.4	23.96	41.76	507.20	609.33	15.16	14.49
M3N1F0	38.50	50.00	628.3	767.9	24.19	38.38	504.23	586.67	15.02	14.31
M3N1F1	42.00	56.50	669.1	868.8	28.10	48.92	514.77	647.00	16.28	15.93
M3N2F0	39.66	54.50	648.4	801.9	25.70	43.70	510.10	612.67	15.77	15.14
M3N2F1	49.16	63.00	761.3	964.8	37.41	60.77	568.20	679.00	19.24	17.72
LSD5%	1.28	1.98	17.83	22.87	0.90	1.75	8.47	15.20	0.68	0.48

Note that: M1= Mycorrhiza M2=Azotobacter M3= (Mycorrhiza + Azotobacter), N1= Vermicompost 5 kg/tree-1 N2=Vermicompost 7 kg/tree-1, F1= Spraying with fertilizer NPK (2.5 ml/L-1).

The effect of the study factors (biofertilization, vermicompost, spraying with mineral fertilizer NPK) was reflected positively on improving the productive qualities, Table (2) and (3). This may be attributed to the role of biofertilizers in improving the physical, chemical and biological characteristics of the soil and its production of plant growth regulators, antibiotics and increasing the absorption of essential nutrients for plant growth, which achieves an increase in the growth of the root and shoot system. By increasing the efficiency of the Photosynthesis and increasing the outputs of this process, which has a positive impact on increasing production and its components, Azotobacter bacteria play a major role in Improving soil fertility and decomposition of organic

materials. These beneficial effects can be attributed to the biosynthesis of biologically active substances, stimulating microorganisms in the root zone, producing inhibitors of plant pathogens, improving the availability of nutrients and increasing their availability to plants, and producing growth stimulating hormones, in addition to fixing nitrogen, which has an important role in bio processes in plant, which is reflected in increasing the efficiency of the carbon metabolism and increasing the synthesis of nutrients that are used to build a strong vegetative system, thus improving the efficiency of the roots by absorbing nutrients through the growth of root system as a result of the production of plant hormones, especially auxin, and this is due to the availability of the necessary elements for

the plant. This leads to increasing and improving the quality of production (Kaleji et al., 2023) , (Arora et al., 2018), and agrees with (Hassan & Salem,2020) , (Jangid et al., 2023), as for the Mycorrhiza fungus, it has the ability to excreted growth hormones (auxin, cytokinin, gibberellin), and this in turn leads to increased root and vegetative growth as a result of increased division and expansion of plant cells and tissues, which increases production and its components. (Nicoals et al., 2014). it can also excreted a substance glomalin which hold soil particles and increase its ability to retain water, thus improving water consumption and improving soil construction. It also has the ability to excreted some organic acids, enzymes, and chelates elements such as the compound Siderophores Which works to chelate the elements (AL- Rubaye et al., 2019) and (Wang et al., 2023), as a result of the increased availability and absorption of water and nutrients, the carbon metabolism activities in the plant and the accumulation of its products increased (Kalayu, 2019). the effect of interaction of inoculation treatment between Azotobacter bacteria and Mycorrhiza fungi compared to the single inoculation treatments may be attributed to the synergistic and positive role of both the in improving the physical, chemical and biological characteristics of the soil and increasing the availability of the elements necessary for growth, which improved the nutritional status of the plant, which was reflected positively in improving the production and its components of the plant, (Kaleji et al., 2023), (Winkelmann, 2017). There is a clear response of grape plant yields to vermicompost fertilization, as its growth and development improved with increasing levels of added fertilizer. Recent studies have found that levels of organic matter in the soil work to enhance the microbial biomass of the soil and activities using organic fertilizers such as vermicompost.

good plant growth can be attributed to biological effects such as increasing beneficial enzymatic activities and numbers of beneficial organisms, as well as the presence of effective biologically active substances on plant growth, such as plant hormones and humic acids in vermicompost, the reason may be due to the role of this fertilizer in improving the physical, chemical and biological characteristics of the soil by increasing the soil's moisture retention and increasing its aeration, as it provides ideal conditions for the growth of the root system and increases the activity of microorganisms and their numbers in the soil, which increases the availability of nutrients and increases their absorption from the plant, leading to increasing growth. vegetative growth is good and this is reflected in increased production and its components (Alarcon-Zayas et al., 2024), (Atiyeh et al., 2002) and (Dominguez et al., 2017). Regarding the reason for the increase in yield and its components when spraying plants Grapes with mineral fertilizer NPK, the reason may be attributed to what this contains Fertilizer of nutrients the task of the plant Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, and their effect on vegetative growth .it has an effect on the products of carbon metabolism and increasing the accumulation of processed foodstuffs and their transport to storage places to provide their growth requirements, leading to an increase in their weight, numbers and volume (Barker & Pilbeam, 2007). the interaction between the studied factors had a positive effect in improving yield indicators and its components, through the effective role in increasing the accumulation of nutrients and carbohydrates and their transfer from leaves to grapes, this led to increase weight and number Clusters, grapes weight and volume which was reflected in an increase in the yield per plant and the total yield for the vine(Jasim & Hamid, 2023). The effect of biofertilizer, vermicompost, and foliar with NPK in

Percentage increase the total sugars are due to the role of these fertilizers in influencing the physical and chemical properties of the soil, decomposing the organic materials in it into their simple components useful to the plant, and the stimulating effect of biofertilizers in the production of plant hormones that work to increase the surface area of the roots, which increases the absorption of nutrients and increases the process of photosynthesis and its products and storage. Excess of it in plant parts, which led to an increase in total sugars in the grapes berries (EL-Sayed, 2024) and (Pesakovic et al., 2017).

## CONCLUSION

The above findings clearly indicate that combining biofertilizers with organic manures enhanced soil nutrient availability plant grapes which resulted in higher yield and improved quality, Azotobacter + mycorrhiza + vermekompost + NPK folir was found to be the best with respect to the Qualitative and productive characteristics for the transaction M3N2F1, there was a clear difference in the productivity between the two years.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Author are thankful to Professor Ahmed Taleb Joody, the Department of Horticulture and Landscape Engineering, and everyone who contributed and helped in preparing this research.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## DECLARATION OF FUND

The authors declare that they have not received a fund.

## AUTHOR/S DECLARATION

We confirm that all Figures and Tables in the manuscript are original to us. Additionally, any Figures and images that do not belong to us have been incorporated with the required permissions for re-publication, which are included with the manuscript.

Author/s signature on Ethical Approval Statement.

Ethical Clearance and Animal welfare Funds:

## AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT REFERENCES

- Alarcon-Zayas, A.; L. G. Hernandez-Montiel.; D. Medina-Hernandez.; E. O. Rueda-Puente.; W.G. Ceiro-Catasu and R.J. Holguin-Pena.2024. Effects of glomus fasciculatum, azotobacter chroococcum and vermicompost leachate on the production and quality of tomato fruit. *Microbiol. Res.* 15(1): 187–195. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microbiolres15010013>.
- AL-Saidi, I. H. 2000. Grape Production (Part One). Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research - University of Mosul - Iraq. pp:608.
- Arora, M.; P. Saxena.; M. Z. Abdin, and A. Varma. 2018. Interaction between *piriformospora indica* and *azotobacter chroococcum* governs better plant physiological and biochemical parameters in *Artemisia annua L.* plants grown under in vitro conditions. *Symbiosis* 75, 103–112. [doi: 10.1007/s13199-017-0519-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13199-017-0519-y).
- Atiyeh, R. M.; S. Lee.; C. A. Edwards.; N. Q. Arancon, and J. D. Metzger. 2002. The influence of humic acids derived from earthworm-processed organic wastes on plant growth. *Bioresour Technol* 84:7–14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(02\)00017-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(02)00017-2).
- Barker, A. V, and D. J. Pilbeam. 2007. *Handbook of Plant Nutrition*. Books in Soils, Plants, and the Environment. Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data. pp: 613. ISBN 10: [0824759044](https://doi.org/10.36103/25k2jn95).
- Daniel, A.I.; A.O. Fadaka.; A. Gokul.; O.O. Bakare.; O. Aina.; S. Fisher.; A.F.Burt.; V. Mavumengwana.; M.Keyster, and A. Klein.2022. Biofertilizer: the future of food

security and food safety. *Microorganisms*. National Library of Medicine. 10 (6): 1220. doi:[10.3390/microorganisms10061220](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10061220).

- Dominguez, J.; C. J. Sanchez-Hernandez, and. Lores.2017. Handbook of Grape Processing, Chapter3- Vermicomposting of winemaking. Journals & Books pp: 55-78. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-809870-7.00003-X>.
- EL-Sayed. S. F. 2024. Integrated use of vermicompost and biofertilizers to enhance growth, yield and nutrient content of tomato grown under organic conditions. Egypt. J. Hort. 51(1): 103 – 116 . doi:[10.21608/ejoh.2023.224331.1259](https://doi.org/10.21608/ejoh.2023.224331.1259).
- FAO.2022. FAO STATE Agriculture Statistics Database <http://www.Fao.org>.
- Fasusi, A. O.; O. O Babalola and O. T. Adejumo. 2023. Harnessing of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in agroecosystem sustainability. C A B I Agriculture and Bioscience.4(26):1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43170-023-00168-0>.
- Georgiev, V.; A.Ananga and V. Tsolova.2014. Recent advances and uses of grape flavonoids as nutraceuticals. Journal Nutrients.6 (1): 391 – 415 . <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu6010391>.
- Hassan, A. E, and M.A. M. Salem. 2020. Effect of biofertilizer, organic manure sources and application method on adding fertilizer on growth, leaf mineral content, yield and fruit quality of flame seedless grapes. Horticultural Research Institute - Agricultural Research Center - Giza. 5 (8): 345-364. doi:[10.21608/mjppf.2020.172116](https://doi.org/10.21608/mjppf.2020.172116)
- Havlin, J. L; J. D. Beaton; S. L. Tisdale and W.L. Nelson. 2005. Soil Fertility and Nutrient Management. An Introduction to Nutrient Management. 7th Edition. Pearson/Prentice Hall. Upper Saddle River. New Jersey.USA. pp: 515.
- Jangid, R.; M. M. Masu.; P. Bhattacharjee.; B. D. Patel, and P. Kumar. 2022. Effect of different sources of nitrogen on growth and yield attributes of custard apple (*Annona squamosa L.*) cv. Sindhan.vol 11(5):1477-1481. <https://dx.doi.org/10.22271/tpi>.
- Jasim, T.S and B.A.Hamid.2023. Effect of organic and biofertilization on some qualitative and productive traits of barley plant. University of Baghdad. IOP Conf. Series: IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 1259 012031. doi:[10.1088/1755-1315/1259/1/012031](https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1259/1/012031).
- Kalayu G.2019. Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms: Promising approach as biofertilizers. International Journal of Agronomy. ID: 4917256, P: 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/4917256>.
- Kaleji, M.K.; M.R. Ardakani and S. Khoshnati.2023. Inoculation with mycorrhiza and azotobacter chroococcum affects the quantitative and qualitative characteristics of eryngium caeruleum at different planting densities. Agronomía Colombiana. 4 (2) : 1 – 10 . doi:[10.15446/agron.colomb.v41n2.106390](https://doi.org/10.15446/agron.colomb.v41n2.106390).
- Makkar, C.; J. Singh.; C.Parkash. S.Singh.; A. PalVig, and S.S.Dhaliwal.2023. Vermicompost acts as bio-modulator for plants under stress and non-stress conditions. *Environ Dev Sustain* 25, 2006–2057. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-022-02132-w>.
- Murtaza, F. D.; E. Rosculete.; A.C.Rosculete, and Paunescu.2022.Foliar fertilization - an integral part of complex and integrated fertilizations. University of Craiova – Agriculture. 52 (2) : 100 – 107 . <https://doi.org/10.52846/aamc.v52i2.1395>.
- Nicoals, E.; J.F. Maestre - valero.; J .J Alarcon.; F.Pedrero.; J.Vicente -Sanchez.;A. Bernabe.; J.Gomez-Montie.;A. Hernandez, and F.Fernandez. 2014. Effectiveness and persistence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on

- the physiology, nutrient uptake and yield of Crimson seedless grapevine. *Journal of Agricultural Science.* 153(6): 1084–1096. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002185961400080X>.
- Pesakovic,M.; J. Tomic.; M. Lukic.; Z. Karaklajic-Stajic .; R . Miletic and M. S. Paunovic. 2017. Beneficial role of biofertilization on yield related characteristics of two apple cultivars and soil microorganisms under orchard conditions. *European Journal of Sustainable Development.* 6 (3): 423 – 429. [doi:10.14207/ejsd.2017.v6n3p423](https://doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2017.v6n3p423).
- Sahoo, R. K.; M.W Ansari.; T.K. Dangar.;S.Mohanty.; and N. Tuteja. 2014. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of efficient nitrogen-fixing azotobacter strains from rice fields for crop improvement. *Protoplasma* 251(3): 511–523 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00709-013-0547-2>.
- Sumbul, A.; R.A.Anvari.; R .Rizvi, and L.Mahmood.2020. Azotobacter: A potential bio-fertilizer for soil and plant health management. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences.* 27 (12) : 3634 – 3640. [doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.08.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.08.004).
- Wang, Y.J.; X. Huahe.; L.L. Meng.;Y.Ningzuo and Q.Shengwu.2023. Extraradical mycorrhizal hyphae promote soil carbon sequestration through difficultly extractable glomalin-related soil protein in response to soil water stress. *Microb Ecol. Plant Microbe Interactions.* 86(22): 1023–1034. [doi:10.1007/s00248-022-02153-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-022-02153-y).
- Winkelmann, G. 2017. A search for glomuferrin: a potential siderophore of arbusculae mycorrhizal fungi of the genus *glomus*. *Biometals.*30 (4):559-64. [doi: 10.1007/s10534-017-0026-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10534-017-0026-x).
- Zhou, D. D.; J. Li.; R.-G. Xiong.; A. Saimaiti.; S.-Y. Huang.; S.-X Wu.; Z.-J. Yang.; A.Shang.; C.-N. Zhao, and R.Y Gan. 2022. Bioactive compounds, health benefits and food applications of grape. *Foods.* 11(18): 2755. [doi: 10.3390/foods11182755](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11182755).

## تأثير الازوتوباكتر والمايكورايزا والفييرميكمبوزت والرش بالـ NPK في انتاجية العنب صنف حلواوي

احمد طالب جودي

حسين علي حبيب المعموري

استاذ

باحث

قسم البيستنة وهندسة الحدائق/كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية/جامعة بغداد- العراق

### المستخلاص

نفذت التجربة في احدى بساتين العنب الخاصة في محافظة ديالى خلال الموسمين 2022 – 2023 على كرمات العنب لدراسة تأثير اضافة السماد الحيوي البكتيري والفطري والسماد العضوي والرش بالسماد المعدني NPK على بعض الصفات الانتاجية للعنب صنف حلواوي ، بتصميم القطعات العشوائية الكاملة حسب ترتيب الالواح المنشقة وبثلاث عوامل وثلاث مكررات تضمن اللوح الرئيس السماد الحيوي واللوح الثانوي التداخل بين التسميد العضوي والمعدني وتشمل الوحدة التجريبية على شجرة واحدة ويبلغ عدد الاشجار 72 شجرة وتضمن العامل الاول اضافة الاسمدة الحيوية ورمز لها (M) باربعة معاملات هي M0 من دون تلقيح ، M1 اضافة الفطر 400 غم /شجرة، M2 اضافة البكتيريا 200 غم /شجرة ، M3 التداخل بين الفطر والبكتيريا والعامل الثاني اضافة السماد العضوي ورمز له (N) بثلاث معاملات N0 بدون اضافة و N1 اضافة 5 كغم / شجرة و N2 اضافة 7 كغم / شجرة والعامل الثالث الرش بالـ NPK بمعاملتين هي F0 بدون رش و F1 رش اشجار العنب حتى البلل بتركيز 2.5 غم /لتر بأربعة رشات بين رشة و أخرى ثلاثة يوما ابتداء من وصول الوراق مرحلة الاتساع الكامل، اظهرت النتائج تفوق معاملة التداخل الثلاثي M3N2F1 في الصفات المتمثلة بعدد العناقيد في الكرمة وزن العنقود وحاصل الكرمة الكلي وزن مئة حبة والسكنريات الكلية ، باعطائها أعلى القيم بلغت (49.16 و 63.00 عنقود كرمة<sup>-1</sup> و 761.3 و 964.8 غم و 37.41 كغم كرمة<sup>-1</sup> و 20.77 و 568.00 و 679.00 غم و 19.24 و 17.72 % بالتابع للموسمين.

الكلمات المفتاحية: بكتيريا ، سماد حيوي ، سماد معدني ، فطر ، عضوي .

\* البحث مستقل من اطروحة الدكتوراه للباحث الاول.