# GENETIC ASSESSMENTS OF SOME LOCAL AND IMPORTED GENOTYPES OF RICE IN SULAIMANI GOVERNORATE - IRAO

Bestoon. Hamma-Umin<sup>1</sup>
Assist. Prof.

E. O. Hama-Ali<sup>2</sup>
Assist. Prof.

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Biotech. Crop Sci. – Coll. Agric. Engin. Sciences – Univ. Sulaimani <sup>2</sup>Dept. of Envi. Sci. – Coll. Envi. Sciences – Univ. Sulaimani

e-mail: bestoon.omar@univsul.edu.iq, imad.hamaali@univsul.edu.iq

### **ABSTRACT**

This study was carried out at two locations in Sulaimani Governorate, Iraq during 2022 to investigate the genetic potential of thirty-one rice genotypes to highlights the genetic diversity among rice genotypes and emphasizes the importance of considering both genetic and environmental factors in rice breeding programs. The distinct performance of genotypes across different traits and locations provides valuable information for selecting and developing rice varieties with improved characteristics and higher yield potential. The results of the analysis of variance indicate highly significant differences among the genotypes for all studied traits at both Chalakh and Penjwen locations. This suggests a substantial genetic diversity among the studied genotypes, shows their potential as valuable genetic resources for breeding programs. Additionally, the interaction between genotypes and environments was found to be highly significant for most traits, emphasizing the importance of considering both genetic and environmental factors in rice research. G<sub>1</sub> was superior to the other genotypes regarding the grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> with 60.909 and 29.004 g at Chalakh and Penjwen locations respectively. Moreover, the impact of location on the studied traits was significant, with Chalakh outperforming Penjwen in all traits. This difference in performance could be attributed to variations in climatic conditions and environmental factors between the two locations.

**Keywords:** *Oryza sativa* L., genotypes performance, locations, correlation analysis, path analysis. \*Part of the Ph.D. dissertation of the 1<sup>st</sup> author.

مجلة العلوم الزراعية العراقية- 2025 :56 (6):2083-2098

لتقييم الوراثي لبعض التراكيب الوراثية المحلية والمستوردة لصنف الرز في محافظة السليمانية - العراق بيستون عمر حمه أمين عماد عمر حمه علي أستاذ مساعد

قسم التقنية الحيوبة و علوم المحاصيل الحقلية – كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية – جامعة السليمانية

#### لمستخلص

إجري هذا البحث في موقعين مختلفين في محافظة السليمانية بالعراق خلال الموسم الزراعي 2022 لبحث القابلية الوراثية لواحد وثلاثين تركيباً وراثيًا للرز، لمعرفة على التنوع الوراثية بين التراكيب الوراثية والتأكيد على أهمية مراعاة العوامل الوراثية والبيئية في برامج تربية الرز. يوفر الأداء المتميز للتراكيب الوراثية عبر الصفات والمواقع المختلفة معلومات قيمة لاختيار وتطوير أصناف الرز ذات الخصائص المحسنة وإمكانات الإنتاج الأعلى. أشارت نتائج تحليل التباين إلى وجود فروقات معنوية بين التراكيب الوراثية و لجميع الصفات المدروسة في كلا الموقعين مما يشير إلى وجود إختلافات وراثية كبير بين التراكيب الوراثية المدروسة، ويظهر إمكاناتها كموارد وراثية قيمة لبرامج التربية. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، وجد أن التفاعل بين التراكيب الوراثية والبيئات له أهمية كبيرة بالنسبة لمعظم الصفات، مما يؤكد أهمية مراعاة العوامل الوراثية والبيئية في أبحاث الرز. تفوقت [6] على جميع التركيب الوراثية الآخرى فيما يتعلق بإنتاج الحبوب نبات - عيث بلغت و60.900 و 29.004 جم في موقعي جالاخ وبنجوين على التوالي. علاوة على ذلك، كان تأثير الموقع في الصفات المدروسة معنوياً جداً، حيث تفوق جالاخ على بنجوين في جميع الصفات. ويمكن أن يعزى هذا الاختلاف في الأداء إلى الاختلافات في الظروف المناخية والعوامل البيئية بين الموقعين.

الكلمات المفتاحية: . Oryza sativa L ، أداء التراكيب الوراثية، المواقع، تحليل الارتباط، تحليل المسار.

• جزء من أطروحة الدكتوراه للباحث الأول.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Copyright© 2025 College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences - University of Baghdad

Received: 22 /7/2023, Accepted: 8/11/2023, Published: December 2025

### INTRODUCTION

The objective of rice plant breeders in Iraq is to develop rice genotypes that have increased potential. production Iraq's diverse agroecosystems contribute to the development and adaptation of multiple rice cultivars. The breeders strive to create varieties that are wellsuited to the different ecological conditions found in Iraq, such as variations in soil types, climate, and water availability. This focus on developing adapted cultivars is important in order to optimize rice production and improve yields across different regions of the country (33). One technique for boosting rice output is to increasing yield per unit area which can be achieved through various approaches such as developing high-yielding varieties, employing effective agronomic practices, and utilizing advanced farming technologies. To achieve significant improvements in rice production, it crucial enhance rice to genetic improvement. This involves developing new rice varieties that possess desirable traits such as high yield potential, resistance to diseases and pests, tolerance to environmental stresses, improved and agronomic performance. Understanding the link between yield and the qualities contributing to it is vital for developing an efficient selection technique. This helps breeders identify the key traits that positively impact yield and focus improving those traits during the breeding process. Multivariate analysis is a valuable technique employed by breeders to examine genetic variability in rice. It allows them to assess multiple traits simultaneously and identify patterns of genetic diversity within the rice population. Genetic diversity is essential for sustaining high output levels as it provides a broader genetic base for breeding efforts, enabling the development of improved varieties with diverse genetic backgrounds (34). There are some challenges that contribute to the low average yield per area of land in Iraq compared to other countries. The practice of cultivating wheat after rice can lead to lower soil fertility as both crops have similar nutrient requirements. Continuous rice-wheat cropping without appropriate soil management practices can deplete soil nutrients, negatively affecting rice yields (2). Furthermore, high water consumption during the rice growing

season is another challenge, particularly in regions where water availability is limited, especially during the summer months. Insufficient water supply during critical growth stages can result in reduced rice yields and poor crop performance. Efficient irrigation techniques, water management practices, and the use of drought-tolerant rice varieties can help mitigate this challenge. To improve the average yield per area of land in Iraq, it is important to address these factors implementing sustainable farming practices. This may include the adoption of crop rotation systems, such as introducing leguminous crops or green manure crops after rice, to improve soil fertility (27). A plant breeder's most critical choice is choosing parents to utilize in a hybridization approach. It makes sense to use genetic divergence to choose parents for an efficient hybridization and breeding program (35). Identifying the variables critical for population-level genetic variety, according to Singh and Chaudhary (28), may aid in the selection of diverse parents for hybridization programs. Several scholars have utilized multivariate analysis to discover and assess diversity in a variety of rice using agromorphological features (19, 25). choose and breed distinct varieties and lines of rice with higher yield potential, a plant breeder must have a solid understanding of the phenotypic and genotypic interactions of many economic elements (3, 23). The intensity and direction of the link between yield and its component features are revealed through correlation analysis of yield and its component traits. Yong-xiang et al. (38) examined 20 different varieties of rice based on nine unique characteristics. The number of grain panicle<sup>-1</sup> and 1000-grain weights were shown to be highly correlated with rice grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. Sabesan et al. (26) investigated 54 rice cultivars and discovered that plant height and the number of tillers plant were positively related to grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. Hairmansis *et al*. (8) discovered that plant height had a negative influence on grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, although 1000-grain weight had no effect. The study focuses on assessing the genetic characteristics of local and imported rice genotypes in Sulaimani Governorate, Iraq. The aim of this study is to evaluate the genetic diversity and

traits of these rice genotypes for potential cultivation in the region. This research likely involves collecting samples of different rice genotypes from both local varieties that have been traditionally grown in the region and imported varieties that have been introduced to Iraq. Various genetic assessments and analyses would then be conducted to evaluate the genetic diversity, phenotypic characteristics, and agronomic traits of these genotypes.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Thirty-one rice genotypes were collected throughout Iraq for this study; these genotypes were named according to the region from which they were originally sourced as well as the local name (Table 1). The field experiments were conducted at two locations in Sulaimani Governorate, Chalakh Village / Dukan Township (Lat. 35° 48' 59.5"; N. Long. 45° 06' 04.4"; E, 701 MASL, 41.99 Km Northwest of Sulaimani city) in a clay soil and Penjwen Township (Lat. 35° 37' 25.94"; N, Long. 45° 56' 56.93"; E. 1269.65 MASL. 48.88 Km East of Sulaimani city) in a siltyclay soil, Sulaimani Governorate, Iraq, in 2022. The seeds were planted in 9<sup>th</sup> April 2022 at College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences field in a green house and the seedlings were transfer to the seedling tray in 21<sup>st</sup> April 2022 then transport and planted in 25<sup>th</sup> May 2022 at Chalakh location and in 13<sup>th</sup> June 2022 at Penjwen location respectively. At both locations, Rice was planted at a spacing of 20 cm. The area of the plot was 6 m<sup>2</sup>. NPK Fertilizer 18; 18; 18 was used to apply fertilizers at a rate of 100; 40; 40 of N; P; K [nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K)] Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The entire phosphorus and potassium doses, as well as half of the N dose, were used as an initial dose, and the remaining 50% nitrogenous fertilizer was split into two halves. The first was used during the tillering process, and the second during the booting process. Other cultural operations were carried out in accordance with standard field procedures. At Chalakh location the harvesting was done in 9<sup>th</sup> September for all of the Japonica genotypes and in 26<sup>th</sup> September 2022 for all of the Indica genotypes. At Penjwen location the harvesting was done in 25<sup>th</sup> October for all of the Japonica genotypes and in 5<sup>th</sup> November 2022 for all of the Indica genotypes. At maturity, five plants from plot-1 were randomly picked to record agronomic characteristics, plant height (cm), flag leaf length (cm), number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, 1000-grain weight (g), grain length (mm), grain width (mm), and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (g). The results were statistically examined as a general test using Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replicates; a combined analysis of variance across sites was also performed for the studied characteristics. The Significant Difference (L.S.D) test was used to compare all feasible means at significant levels of 0.05 and 0.01. The correlation coefficients were determined in each setting to establish the degree of relationship of traits with yield as as among the yield components themselves. The phenotypic correlations and the path coefficient analysis were performed by the equations proposed by Singh and Chaudhary (28) using Analysis of Moment Structures (AMOS) Ver. 18 software. Using IBM SPSS software. Ver. 19. a cluster based hierarchical analysis Euclidean Distance and Unweighted Pairgroup Linkage (UPGMA) was also done to cluster the relatedness of rice genotypes based on agromorphological parameters (9).

Table 1. Description and details of the studied genotypes

| Genotypes       | Names                   | Types              | Locations   |
|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---|
| $G_1$           | Barazily 1              | Japonica Rice      | Sulaimani – Bakrajo                                 |
| $G_2$           | Tahaluf                 | Japonica Rice      | Sulaimani – Bakrajo                                 |
| $G_3$           | <b>Tahalif 4 Months</b> | Japonica Rice      | Duhok - Bardarash                                   |
| $G_4$           | Mawlawy Sur<br>Sarda    | Japonica Rice      | Sulaimani – Shahrazoor – Tapatoka                   |
| $G_5$           | Bazian Sur              | Japonica Rice      | Sulaimani – Bakrajo                                 |
| $G_6$           | Safa Sur                | Japonica Rice      | Sulaimani – Peramagroon- Guleja                     |
| $G_7$           | Chawtan                 | Japonica Rice      | Sulaimani – Darbandi Khan – Chawtan (Kawa<br>Ahmad) |
| $G_8$           | 6 Months                | Japonica Rice      | Duhok – Akrea – Harer Batas                         |
| $G_9$           | Sarda                   | Japonica Rice      | Sulaimani – Kalar – Sea Tapan                       |
| $G_{10}$        | Gull Sur Safa Sur       | Japonica Rice      | Sulaimani – Peramagroon- Guleja                     |
| $G_{11}$        | Chawtan                 | Japonica Rice      | Sulaimani – Darbandi Khan – Chawtan (Anwar Jalal)   |
| $G_{12}$        | 6 Months                | Japonica Rice      | Duhok – Akrea – Bana Sur                            |
| $G_{13}$        | Ambar 6 Months          | Indica Rice        | Sulaimani – Pshdar - Qaladze                        |
| $G_{14}$        | Sadry                   | Indica Rice        | Duhok – Akrea – Bana Sur                            |
| G <sub>15</sub> | Anbar                   | Indica Rice        | Duhok – Akrea – Kalat                               |
| $G_{16}$        | Sadry                   | Indica Rice        | Duhok – Akrea – Kalat                               |
| G <sub>17</sub> | Sadry 6 Months          | Indica Rice        | Duhok - Bardarash                                   |
| $G_{18}$        | Gull Rash               | Indica Rice        | Sulaimani – Bakrajo                                 |
| $G_{19}$        | Sadry                   | Indica Rice        | Iran – Tehran - Shamly                              |
| $G_{20}$        | <b>Anbar Baghdad</b>    | Indica Rice        | Baghdad   |
| $G_{21}$        | <b>Anbar Furat</b>      | Indica Rice        | Baghdad   |
| $G_{22}$        | Yassamin 1              | <b>Indica Rice</b> | Najaf - Mshkhab                                     |
| $G_{23}$        | Anbr 33                 | Indica Rice        | Najaf - Mshkhab                                     |
| $G_{24}$        | Aba 1                   | Indica Rice        | Najaf - Mshkhab                                     |
| $G_{25}$        | Bhus 1                  | Indica Rice        | Najaf - Mshkhab                                     |
| $G_{26}$        | Ghadir                  | Indica Rice        | Najaf - Mshkhab                                     |
| $G_{27}$        | Furat 1                 | Indica Rice        | Najaf - Mshkhab                                     |
| $G_{28}$        | Mshkhab 1               | Indica Rice        | Najaf - Mshkhab                                     |
| $G_{29}$        | Albaraka                | Indica Rice        | Najaf - Mshkhab                                     |
| $G_{30}$        | Barnamij 4              | Indica Rice        | Najaf - Mshkhab                                     |
| $G_{31}$        | Mshkhab 2               | <b>Indica Rice</b> | Najaf - Mshkhab                                     |

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Analysis of variance: According to the mean squares of the variance analysis, highly significant differences were detected among the genotypes for all of the studied traits at Chalakh and Penjwen locations which indicates the presence of huge differences among the studied genotypes (Table 2). This illustrates the genotypes' high potential for use as a genetic source for breeding purposes (16). The mean squares of the combined analysis of the variances indicated the presence of highly significant mean squares due to the locations for all of the traits, while the mean squares due

to the genotypes were highly significant for all Concerning traits. of the studied (Genotypes × Environments) interaction they were indicated to be highly significant for all of the traits except for grain length and width which were not significant (Table 3). These findings highlight the significance of genetics, environment, and the interaction effect of genotypes and environment. When investigating rice growth in multi-location research studies, interaction effects have substantial implications that cannot be underestimated (1).

Table 2. The mean squares of the Analysis of Variance at both locations

| S.O.V      | d.f | Plant<br>height<br>(cm) | Flag<br>leaf<br>length<br>(cm) | No. of<br>tillers<br>plant <sup>-1</sup> | Panicle<br>length<br>(cm) | No. of<br>grains<br>panicle <sup>-1</sup> | 1000<br>grain<br>weight<br>(g) | Grain<br>length<br>(mm) | Grain<br>width<br>(mm) | Grain<br>yield<br>plant <sup>-1</sup><br>(g) |
|------------|-----|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|
|            |     |                         |                                | Cha                                      | lakh Locati               | ion                                       |                                |                         |                        |  |
| Blocks     | 4   | 1.348                   | 1.539                          | 334.133                                  | 514.093                   | 2231.345                                  | 0.682                          | 0.032                   | 0.119                  | 814.588                                      |
| Genotypes  | 30  | 705.829<br>**           | 338.289                        | 284.437<br>**                            | 249.057<br>**             | 5604.001<br>**                            | 42.728<br>**                   | 10.259<br>**            | 1.559<br>**            | 1297.259<br>**                               |
| Exp. Error | 120 | 1.788                   | 1.562                          | 22.648                                   | 12.230                    | 294.420                                   | 1.007                          | 0.192                   | 0.136                  | 87.418                                       |
|            |     |                         |                                | Per                                      | njwen Loca                | tion                                      |                                |                         |                        |  |
| Blocks     | 4   | 3.332                   | 0.442                          | 0.639                                    | 0.992                     | 422.907                                   | 0.103                          | 1.765                   | 0.526                  | 162.573                                      |
| Genotypes  | 30  | 1178.445<br>**          | 130.949<br>**                  | 34.642<br>**                             | 157.974<br>**             | 1130.702<br>**                            | 103.351<br>**                  | 9.505<br>**             | 1.317<br>**            | 251.586<br>**                                |
| Exp. Error | 120 | 2.116                   | 1.769                          | 1.923                                    | 0.438                     | 55.336                                    | 0.237                          | 0.418                   | 0.172                  | 17.649                                       |

Table 3. The mean squares of the Combined Analysis of Variance at the average of both locations

| S.O.V                        | d.f | Plant<br>height<br>(cm) | Flag leaf<br>length<br>(cm) | No. of<br>tillers<br>plant <sup>-1</sup> | Panicle<br>length<br>(cm) | No. of<br>grains<br>panicle <sup>-1</sup> | 1000<br>grain<br>weight (g) | Grain<br>length<br>(mm) | Grain<br>width<br>(mm) | Grain<br>yield<br>plant <sup>-1</sup><br>(g) |
|------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Location                     | 1   | 31602.903<br>**         | 1180.726<br>**              | 7301.678<br>**                           | 4028.713                  | 194723.355<br>**                          | 12186.909<br>**             | 22.761<br>**            | 2.713                  | 29735.354                                    |
| Block<br>/Locations<br>=E(a) | 8   | 2.340                   | 0.990                       | 167.386                                  | 257.543                   | 1327.126                                  | 0.393                       | 0.898                   | 0.323                  | 488.580                                      |
| Genotypes / Locations        | 60  | 942.137                 | 234.619                     | 159.540<br>**                            | 203.516                   | 3367.351                                  | 73.039<br>**                | 9.882<br>**             | 1.438                  | 774.422<br>**                                |
| Genotypes                    | 30  | 1587.958<br>**          | 335.586<br>**               | 155.686<br>**                            | 187.485<br>**             | 5524.683<br>**                            | 119.171<br>**               | 19.636<br>**            | 2.823                  | 1211.855<br>**                               |
| Genotypes  ×  Locations      | 30  | 296.317<br>**           | 133.652                     | 163.393<br>**                            | 219.547<br>**             | 1210.020<br>**                            | 26.907<br>**                | 0.128<br>n.s            | 0.053<br>n.s           | 336.990<br>**                                |
| Error / Locations =E(b)      | 240 | 1.952                   | 1.665                       | 12.285                                   | 6.334                     | 174.878                                   | 0.622                       | 0.305                   | 0.154                  | 52.533                                       |

## Performance of the genotypes

The mean performance of the genotypes at Chalakh location was presented in Table 4, indicating that the mean values for plant height, flag leaf length, no. of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>, panicle length, no. of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, 1000grain weight, grain length, grain width, grain vield plant<sup>-1</sup> ranged from 50.000 to 92.200 cm, 11.800 to 46.000 cm, 4.400 to 35.100, 9.200 to 38.567cm, 12.117 to 165.191, 19.820 to 31.590 g, 5.800 to 12.000 mm, 2.000 to 4.000 mm, and 3.474 to 60.909 g respectively.  $G_{18}$ showed the highest value for plant height with 92.200 cm. Variation in plant height among genotypes is a common feature in rice breeding programs (4). Different genotypes may exhibit varying degrees of height due to genetic factors. G<sub>24</sub> recorded the maximum values for flag leaf length, panicle length, no. of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> with 46.000 cm, 38.567 cm, and 165.191 respectively. Flag leaf length is an important trait affecting light interception and photosynthesis in rice. Variability in flag leaf length can influence the overall growth and yield of the rice crop (20) and Panicle length is an essential trait linked to the number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and, consequently, grain yield (40). G<sub>29</sub> showed the maximum value for no. of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> reached 35.100 which is a crucial determinant of rice yield potential. Genotypes with a higher tiller count often have the potential for greater grain production (13). G<sub>25</sub> with 31.590 g was superior to the other genotypes in 1000-grain weight which considered to be an important trait related to grain size and weight and it contributes to grain yield and quality (6). The highest value for grain length was 12.000 mm recorded by G<sub>13</sub>, G<sub>1</sub> and G<sub>25</sub> recorded the maximum grain width with 4.000 mm. Grain length and width collectively contribute to grain shape and size, which can impact the market value and utilization of rice (30). G<sub>1</sub> gave the maximum grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> with 60.909 g and it is the

ultimate goal of rice cultivation, and it is influenced by various agronomic traits. Genotypes with higher grain yield are

preferred in crop breeding programs (32). The results revealed a massive increase in rice crop productivity in the Chalakh area.

Table 4. Mean of the studied genotypes at Chalakh location

|                           | Plant  | Flag leaf | No. of              | Panicle | No. of                | 1000 grain | Grain  | Grain | Grain yield         |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------|---------------------|---------|-----------------------|------------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| Genotypes                 | height | length    | tillers             | length  | grains                | weight     | length | width | plant <sup>-1</sup> |
|                           | (cm)   | (cm)      | plant <sup>-1</sup> | (cm)    | panicle <sup>-1</sup> | (g)        | (mm)   | (mm)  | (g)                 |
| $G_1$                     | 76.200 | 22.200    | 23.200              | 17.400  | 105.992               | 24.770     | 5.800  | 4.000 | 60.909              |
| $G_2$                     | 53.400 | 13.000    | 4.800               | 9.200   | 27.844                | 29.905     | 8.000  | 3.800 | 3.474               |
| $G_3$                     | 68.400 | 21.000    | 12.200              | 17.400  | 114.257               | 26.410     | 7.600  | 3.800 | 34.403              |
| $G_4$                     | 75.800 | 20.400    | 11.200              | 14.400  | 65.793                | 23.350     | 6.000  | 2.800 | 18.158              |
| $G_5$                     | 70.200 | 31.800    | 8.600               | 15.800  | 94.369                | 24.180     | 6.000  | 2.800 | 19.627              |
| $G_6$                     | 78.400 | 30.800    | 19.400              | 20.600  | 124.176               | 21.650     | 6.400  | 3.200 | 58.329              |
| $G_7$                     | 60.200 | 18.800    | 16.200              | 17.800  | 57.929                | 21.220     | 6.600  | 3.200 | 23.230              |
| $G_8$                     | 83.800 | 20.600    | 22.200              | 20.000  | 74.217                | 23.215     | 6.800  | 3.000 | 41.531              |
| $G_9$                     | 65.200 | 29.200    | 11.000              | 18.800  | 84.502                | 23.640     | 6.600  | 3.000 | 23.086              |
| $G_{10}$                  | 84.600 | 29.200    | 14.400              | 16.400  | 89.498                | 21.500     | 6.400  | 3.000 | 35.028              |
| $G_{11}$                  | 88.800 | 30.200    | 17.000              | 17.600  | 92.136                | 22.570     | 6.200  | 3.000 | 42.405              |
| $G_{12}$                  | 83.400 | 31.400    | 13.400              | 18.600  | 99.974                | 23.590     | 6.600  | 3.000 | 34.567              |
| $G_{13}$                  | 68.800 | 17.200    | 36.600              | 28.139  | 65.505                | 29.390     | 12.000 | 2.000 | 50.512              |
| $G_{14}$                  | 57.200 | 12.400    | 27.100              | 24.458  | 70.967                | 26.035     | 8.000  | 3.000 | 40.550              |
| $G_{15}$                  | 58.800 | 14.600    | 15.200              | 25.881  | 118.591               | 21.945     | 8.000  | 2.000 | 37.552              |
| $G_{16}$                  | 89.200 | 25.400    | 15.800              | 30.868  | 90.486                | 21.800     | 7.600  | 2.800 | 29.949              |
| $G_{17}$                  | 90.200 | 32.000    | 19.700              | 31.900  | 95.984                | 21.325     | 7.600  | 2.600 | 40.039              |
| $G_{18}$                  | 92.200 | 27.600    | 22.900              | 32.540  | 64.528                | 22.130     | 8.200  | 2.200 | 31.882              |
| $G_{19}$                  | 71.400 | 11.800    | 15.300              | 24.700  | 12.117                | 23.860     | 9.000  | 2.800 | 3.853               |
| $G_{20}$                  | 87.200 | 39.000    | 25.400              | 33.057  | 98.065                | 21.225     | 7.400  | 2.200 | 49.978              |
| $G_{21}$                  | 78.600 | 37.600    | 25.200              | 32.277  | 105.451               | 22.135     | 7.600  | 2.400 | 55.016              |
| $G_{22}$                  | 64.200 | 24.800    | 24.200              | 27.766  | 115.049               | 19.820     | 8.000  | 2.800 | 55.975              |
| $G_{23}$                  | 83.200 | 31.400    | 17.600              | 32.896  | 114.352               | 22.130     | 7.600  | 2.200 | 42.479              |
| $G_{24}$                  | 84.000 | 46.000    | 12.900              | 38.567  | 165.191               | 23.495     | 9.200  | 2.800 | 43.449              |
| $G_{25}$                  | 61.600 | 15.200    | 4.400               | 19.091  | 67.304                | 31.590     | 8.400  | 4.000 | 5.916               |
| $G_{26}$                  | 72.000 | 29.200    | 12.000              | 31.428  | 154.611               | 23.885     | 10.000 | 2.400 | 36.680              |
| $G_{27}$                  | 50.000 | 20.800    | 25.100              | 25.624  | 113.563               | 25.255     | 9.000  | 3.000 | 60.443              |
| $G_{28}$                  | 61.200 | 26.200    | 14.500              | 28.843  | 132.900               | 22.340     | 9.000  | 2.800 | 39.465              |
| $G_{29}$                  | 74.400 | 19.200    | 35.100              | 29.398  | 77.143                | 30.105     | 10.800 | 2.200 | 56.140              |
| $G_{30}$                  | 61.200 | 21.200    | 18.700              | 27.961  | 125.413               | 21.145     | 8.200  | 2.000 | 47.753              |
| $G_{31}$                  | 64.800 | 20.000    | 20.700              | 23.450  | 48.678                | 23.505     | 8.400  | 2.400 | 22.207              |
| L.S.D (p≤0.05)            | 1.675  | 1.565     | 5.959               | 4.379   | 21.486                | 1.257      | 0.549  | 0.462 | 11.708              |
| L.S.D <sub>(p≤0.01)</sub> | 2.214  | 2.069     | 7.878               | 5.789   | 28.405                | 1.661      | 0.726  | 0.611 | 15.478              |

The mean performance of the genotypes at Penjwen location is presented in Table 5. The table indicates the average values for plant height, flag leaf length, no. of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>, panicle length, no. of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, 1000-grain weight, grain length, grain width, grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> ranged from 26.800 to 71.600 cm, 12.400 to 30.400 cm, 4.100 to 14.500, 6.508 to 24.753cm, 5.268 to 71.906, 8.258 to 14.240 g, 5.400 to 11.000 mm, 2.000 to 4.200 mm, and 1.654 to 29.004 g respectively. G<sub>9</sub> gave the highest values for plant height with 71.600 cm. G<sub>4</sub> recorded the maximum flag leaf length

(30.400 cm). The maximum no. of tillers plant recorded by G<sub>29</sub>, whilst G<sub>21</sub> gave the highest panicle length with 24.753 cm. G<sub>25</sub> with 71.906 grains recorded the highest value for no. of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, G<sub>2</sub> gave the maximum 1000-grain weight with 14.240 g, G<sub>13</sub> with 11.000 mm gave the maximum value for grain length and it is closely followed by G<sub>29</sub> with 10.600 mm. G<sub>25</sub> recorded the maximum grain width of 4.200 mm. G<sub>1</sub> was superior to the other genotype concerning the grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> with 29.004 g.

Table 5. Mean of the studied genotypes at Penjwen location

| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$                               |
|--|
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$                               |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$                                 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$                                 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$                                |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$                                 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$                                 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$                                 |
| G <sub>7</sub> 55.800 21.600 11.300 18.106 27.585 10.105 6.200 3.000 11.062          |
|  |
| $G_8 = 68.400 = 23.000 = 14.500 = 18.769 = 35.342 = 11.055 = 6.000 = 2.800 = 19.777$ |
|  |
| G <sub>9</sub> 71.600 19.200 9.700 19.709 40.239 11.257 6.000 2.800 10.993           |
| $G_{10}$ 70.600 18.800 10.600 18.198 42.618 10.238 5.800 2.800 16.680                |
| $G_{11}$ 65.600 19.000 11.100 18.487 43.874 10.748 5.800 2.800 20.193                |
| G <sub>12</sub> 66.200 19.400 7.300 19.666 47.607 11.233 6.000 2.800 16.460          |
| G <sub>13</sub> 38.000 15.000 6.300 7.843 28.480 12.778 11.000 2.000 21.962          |
| G <sub>14</sub> 29.000 12.400 5.100 16.550 30.855 11.320 7.400 2.800 17.630          |
| G <sub>15</sub> 26.800 14.200 4.800 11.400 51.561 9.541 7.400 2.200 16.327           |
| $G_{16}$ 68.400 28.400 6.100 23.236 39.342 9.478 7.000 2.600 13.021                  |
| $G_{17}$ 66.200 28.400 6.700 22.808 41.732 9.272 7.600 2.400 17.408                  |
| G <sub>18</sub> 55.600 22.600 5.700 22.324 28.056 9.622 7.600 2.000 13.862           |
| G <sub>19</sub> 67.400 21.800 11.100 23.316 5.268 10.374 8.200 2.600 1.675           |
| $G_{20}$ 63.800 23.200 9.300 24.058 42.637 9.228 6.800 2.000 21.730                  |
| $G_{21}$ 56.600 29.200 11.600 24.753 45.848 9.624 6.600 2.200 28.211                 |
| G <sub>22</sub> 27.600 20.200 7.300 11.587 47.937 8.258 7.400 2.600 23.323           |
| $G_{23}$ 68.000 23.400 5.400 24.352 47.647 9.221 7.200 2.000 17.699                  |
| $G_{24}$ 51.200 21.600 4.100 10.691 68.830 9.790 8.600 2.600 18.104                  |
| $G_{25}$ 50.200 16.200 9.800 21.600 71.906 34.749 8.200 4.200 28.978                 |
| $G_{26}$ 29.600 21.600 7.100 13.162 64.421 9.952 9.200 2.200 15.283                  |
| $G_{27}$ 30.000 14.400 5.000 7.096 47.318 10.523 8.200 2.800 25.185                  |
| G <sub>28</sub> 29.200 17.400 5.300 11.918 55.375 9.308 8.600 2.600 16.444           |
| $G_{29}$ 56.200 20.000 11.900 8.163 32.143 12.544 10.600 2.000 23.392                |
| $G_{30}$ 33.200 14.600 9.100 11.703 52.256 8.810 7.800 2.000 19.897                  |
| $G_{31}$ 44.000 18.200 6.700 6.508 20.283 9.794 7.800 2.200 9.253                    |
| L.S.D <sub>(050.05)</sub> 1.821 1.665 1.736 0.829 9.315 0.610 0.809 0.520 5.261      |
| L.S.D $_{(p\leq 0.01)}$ 2.408 2.201 2.296 1.096 12.314 0.806 1.070 0.687 6.954       |

Table 6 represents the average performance of the genotypes for both locations. It gives an overview of the performance of the genotypes at both locations. Genotype 16 produced the maximum value for plant height reached 78.800 cm, whilst  $G_{24}$  gave the maximum values for flag leaf length and no. of grains panicles<sup>-1</sup>with 33.800 cm and 117.010 respectively. The highest value for no. of tillers

plant<sup>-1</sup> was 23.500 recorded by G<sub>29</sub>. The highest value for panicle length was 28.624 cm recorded by G<sub>23</sub>. G<sub>25</sub> gave the maximum values for 1000-grain weight and grain width reached 33.170 g and 4.100 mm respectively. G<sub>13</sub> recorded the maximum grain length with 11.500 mm. The highest value for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> was 44.957 shown by G<sub>1</sub>.

Table 6. Mean of the studied genotypes at the average of both locations

|                         |        |           |                     |               | _                     | 1000 susin |              |       | Carain adald        |
|-------------------------|--------|-----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------|-------|---------------------|
| G 4                     | Plant  | Flag leaf | No. of              | Panicle       | No. of                | 1000 grain | Grain        | Grain | Grain yield         |
| Genotypes               | height | length    | tillers             | length        | grains                | weight     | length       | width | plant <sup>-1</sup> |
| ~                       | (cm)   | (cm)      | plant <sup>-1</sup> | (cm)          | panicle <sup>-1</sup> | (g)        | (mm)         | (mm)  | (g)                 |
| $G_1$                   | 68.700 | 21.500    | 16.750              | 18.155        | 78.232                | 18.283     | 5.600        | 3.700 | 44.957              |
| $G_2$                   | 47.600 | 12.700    | 7.350               | 11.283        | 20.552                | 22.073     | 7.700        | 3.600 | 2.564               |
| $G_3$                   | 59.300 | 24.800    | 11.300              | 18.759        | 84.333                | 19.493     | 7.300        | 3.600 | 25.392              |
| $G_4$                   | 72.900 | 25.400    | 10.900              | 17.673        | 48.562                | 17.235     | 5.800        | 2.700 | 13.402              |
| $G_5$                   | 65.100 | 30.100    | 8.150               | <b>17.787</b> | 69.653                | 17.847     | 5.800        | 2.700 | 14.486              |
| $G_6$                   | 69.300 | 27.800    | 14.350              | 20.410        | 91.654                | 15.980     | 6.300        | 3.100 | 43.052              |
| $G_7$                   | 58.000 | 20.200    | 13.750              | 17.953        | 42.757                | 15.662     | 6.400        | 3.100 | 17.146              |
| $G_8$                   | 76.100 | 21.800    | 18.350              | 19.385        | 54.779                | 17.135     | 6.400        | 2.900 | 30.654              |
| $G_9$                   | 68.400 | 24.200    | 10.350              | 19.255        | 62.371                | 17.449     | 6.300        | 2.900 | 17.040              |
| $G_{10}$                | 77.600 | 24.000    | 12.500              | 17.299        | 66.058                | 15.869     | 6.100        | 2.900 | 25.854              |
| $G_{11}$                | 77.200 | 24.600    | 14.050              | 18.044        | 68.005                | 16.659     | 6.000        | 2.900 | 31.299              |
| $G_{12}$                | 74.800 | 25.400    | 10.350              | 19.133        | 73.791                | 17.412     | 6.300        | 2.900 | 25.514              |
| $G_{13}$                | 53.400 | 16.100    | 21.450              | 17.991        | 46.993                | 21.084     | 11.500       | 2.000 | 36.237              |
| $G_{14}$                | 43.100 | 12.400    | 16.100              | 20.504        | 50.911                | 18.677     | 7.700        | 2.900 | 29.090              |
| $G_{15}$                | 42.800 | 14.400    | 10.000              | 18.641        | 85.076                | 15.743     | 7.700        | 2.100 | 26.939              |
| $G_{16}$                | 78.800 | 26.900    | 10.950              | 27.052        | 64.914                | 15.639     | 7.300        | 2.700 | 21.485              |
| $G_{17}$                | 78.200 | 30.200    | 13.200              | 27.354        | 68.858                | 15.298     | 7.600        | 2.500 | 28.723              |
| $G_{18}$                | 73.900 | 25.100    | 14.300              | 27.432        | 46.292                | 15.876     | 7.900        | 2.100 | 22.872              |
| $G_{19}$                | 69.400 | 16.800    | 13.200              | 24.008        | 8.693                 | 17.117     | 8.600        | 2.700 | 2.764               |
| $G_{20}$                | 75.500 | 31.100    | 17.350              | 28.557        | 70.351                | 15.227     | 7.100        | 2.100 | 35.854              |
| $G_{21}^{20}$           | 67.600 | 33.400    | 18.400              | 28.515        | 75.650                | 15.879     | 7.100        | 2.300 | 41.613              |
| $G_{22}$                | 45.900 | 22.500    | 15.750              | 19.677        | 81.493                | 14.039     | 7.700        | 2.700 | 39.649              |
| $G_{23}^{22}$           | 75.600 | 27.400    | 11.500              | 28.624        | 80.999                | 15.675     | 7.400        | 2.100 | 30.089              |
| $G_{24}^{23}$           | 67.600 | 33.800    | 8.500               | 24.629        | 117.010               | 16.642     | 8.900        | 2.700 | 30.776              |
| $G_{25}$                | 55.900 | 15.700    | 7.100               | 20.346        | 69.605                | 33.170     | 8.300        | 4.100 | 17.447              |
| $G_{26}$                | 50.800 | 25.400    | 9.550               | 22,295        | 109.516               | 16.919     | 9.600        | 2.300 | 25.981              |
| $\mathbf{G}_{27}^{20}$  | 40.000 | 17.600    | 15.050              | 16.360        | 80.441                | 17.889     | 8.600        | 2.900 | 42.814              |
| $G_{28}$                | 45.200 | 21.800    | 9.900               | 20.381        | 94.137                | 15.824     | 8.800        | 2.700 | 27.954              |
| $G_{29}$                | 65.300 | 19.600    | 23.500              | 18.780        | 54.643                | 21.324     | 10.700       | 2.100 | 39.766              |
| $G_{30}$                | 47.200 | 17.900    | 13.900              | 19.832        | 88.835                | 14.978     | 8.000        | 2.000 | 33.825              |
| $G_{31}$                | 54.400 | 19.100    | 13.700              | 14.979        | 34.481                | 16.649     | 8.100        | 2.300 | 15.730              |
| L.S.D $_{(p \le 0.05)}$ | 1.231  | 1.137     | 3.088               | 2.217         | 11.650                | 0.695      | 0.487        | 0.346 | 6.385               |
| L.S.D $_{(p \le 0.05)}$ | 1.622  | 1.498     | 4.070               | 2.922         | 15.356                | 0.916      | 0.641        | 0.456 | 8.416               |
|                         | 1.022  |           |                     | 2,722         | 10.550                |            | . of 4:11 or |       |                     |

Table 7 presents the impact of location on the studied traits. The data suggests that Chalakh location outperformed Penjwen location in all of the studied traits with significant margins. The results indicate that Chalakh is more suitable than Penjwen, likely due to the difference in climatic conditions between the two locations. Chalakh showed an increase of 138.34%, 118.63%, 215.23%, 142.22%, 218.37%, 210.95%, 107.42%, 107.12%, and 213.00% over Penjwen for plant height, flag

leaf length, number of tillers per plant, number of grains per panicle, 1000-grain weight, grain length, grain width, and grain yield per plant, respectively. The impact of location on these traits often related to variations in temperature, soil type, nutrient availability, and moisture levels. Different locations can result in differences in plant growth (6, 13, 21, 32, 37, 40).

Table 7. Effect of locations on the studied Characters

|                           | Plant  | Flag leaf | No. of              | Panicle | No. of                | 1000 grain | Grain  | Grain | Grain yield         |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------|---------------------|---------|-----------------------|------------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| Locations                 | height | length    | tillers             | length  | grains                | weight     | length | width | plant <sup>-1</sup> |
|                           | (cm)   | (cm)      | plant <sup>-1</sup> | (cm)    | panicle <sup>-1</sup> | <b>(g)</b> | (mm)   | (mm)  | <b>(g)</b>          |
| Chalakh                   | 72.858 | 24.845    | 18.129              | 24.285  | 92.471                | 23.842     | 7.839  | 2.813 | 36.922              |
| Penjwen                   | 52.665 | 20.942    | 8.423               | 17.075  | 42.345                | 11.302     | 7.297  | 2.626 | 17.334              |
| L.S.D $_{(p \le 0.05)}$   | 0.401  | 0.261     | 3.389               | 4.204   | 9.543                 | 0.164      | 0.248  | 0.149 | 5.790               |
| L.S.D <sub>(p≤0.01)</sub> | 0.583  | 0.379     | 4.931               | 6.117   | 13.885                | 0.239      | 0.361  | n.s   | 8.425               |

Correlation analysis: Table (8) shows the correlation analysis of the studied traits at the Chalakh location. Plant height had a highly significant and positive correlation with flag leaf length (0.632\*\*), indicating that as plant height increase, so does flag leaf length. This association suggests that taller plants may have

longer flag leaves. Previous research has shown that plant height can affect various plant traits, including leaf size. For example, a study by Poorter *et al.* (22) demonstrated that taller plants often have larger leaves due to increased light interception. Plant height also has a substantial and negative correlation with

1000-grain weight (-0.380\*), implying that as plant height grows, 1000-grain weight tends to decrease. According to this association, taller plants may yield grains that are smaller or lighter in weight. This negative correlation aligns with the concept of trade-offs in plant growth. Taller plants may invest more energy and resources in stem elongation, leaving fewer resources for seed development, which can result in smaller grains (17). A highly significant positive correlation (0.610\*\*) was found between flag leaf length and the number of grains per panicle, implying that as the flag leaf length increases, so does the number of grains per panicle. This positive correlation is consistent with the idea that larger leaves can capture more sunlight and contribute to higher photosynthetic activity, ultimately supporting greater grain production (42). Significant positive correlations also noticed between flag leaf length and panicle length (0.441\*) and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (0.363\*) which suggests that as the flag leaf length increases, the length of the panicle and the grain yield per plant also tends to increase. This correlation indicates that longer flag leaves may be associated with longer panicles and may positively impact grain yield. Additionally, a highly significant negative correlation was observed between flag leaf length and 1000-grain weight (-0.487\*\*) which means that as the flag leaf length increases, the 1000-grain weight tends to decrease. This correlation indicates that longer flag leaves could be associated with smaller or lighter grains. No. of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> highly significant and positive correlation with grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (0.716\*\*). As the number of tillers per plant increases, grain yield per plant also increases. This finding suggests that a greater number of tillers on a plant is associated with higher grain yield, which is consistent with the idea that more tillers can potentially lead to increased grain production (11). No. of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> had a significant and positive correlation with panicle length and grain length, reaching 0.452\* and 0.425\*, respectively implying that as the number of tillers per plant increases, both panicle length and grain length tend to increase (41), while it had a highly significant and negative correlation with grain width (-0.482\*\*). This means that as the number of tillers per plant increases, grain width tends to decrease (36). Panicle length had a highly significant positive correlation with grain length (0.544\*\*), as well as significant positive correlations with no. of grains panicle and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> reached 0.451\*, and 0.452\*, respectively, whilst recorded highly significant and negative correlation with grain width (-0.670\*\*). This results in in accordance with the finding of Liu et al. Iftekharuddaula et al. (10) were found that panicle length was positively associated with rice grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. A highly significant and positive correlation was detected between no. of grains panicle-1 and grain yield plant-1 (0.610\*\*) which is in accordance with the finding of Khan et al. (12) and Surek and Beser (31), but a substantial and negative correlation with 1000-grain weight (-0.360\*) (5). Madhavilatha et al. (15) reported that no. of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and 1000-grain weights were positively associated with grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. A significant and positive association was detected between 1000-grain weight and grain length (0.462\*\*) and the association between grain length and grain width was negative and significant (-0.427\*).

**Table 8. Correlation Analysis at Chalakh Location** 

|                                     |         |           |                     | - 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 |                       |            |         |        |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|------------|---------|--------|
|                                     | Plant   | Flag leaf | No. of              | Panicle                                 | No. of                | 1000       | Grain   | Grain  |
| Traits                              | height  | length    | tillers             | length                                  | grains                | grain      | length  | width  |
|                                     | (cm)    | (cm)      | plant <sup>-1</sup> | (cm)                                    | panicle <sup>-1</sup> | weight (g) | (mm)    | (mm)   |
| Flag leaf length (cm)               | 0.632** |           | ·                   | ·                                       |                       | ·          |         |        |
| No. of tillers plant <sup>-1</sup>  | 0.115   | -0.039    |                     |   |                       |            |         |        |
| Panicle length (cm)                 | 0.310   | 0.441*    | 0.452*              |   |                       |            |         |        |
| No. of grains panicle <sup>-1</sup> | 0.129   | 0.610**   | -0.019              | 0.451*                                  |                       |            |         |        |
| 1000 grain weight (g)               | -0.380* | -0.487**  | 0.002               | -0.286                                  | -0.360*               |            |         |        |
| Grain length (mm)                   | -0.260  | -0.223    | 0.425*              | 0.544**                                 | 0.024                 | 0.462**    |         |        |
| Grain width (mm)                    | -0.208  | -0.220    | -0.482**            | -0.670**                                | -0.164                | 0.353      | -0.427* |        |
| Grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup> (g) | 0.183   | 0.363*    | 0.716**             | 0.452*                                  | 0.610**               | -0.261     | 0.132   | -0.293 |

<sup>\*.</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed),  $t_{0.05}(29)=2.045$ 

<sup>\*\*.</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed),  $t_{0.01}(29)=2.756$ 

Table 9 shows the correlation analysis among the studied traits at Penjwen location. Plant height recorded a highly significant and positive correlations with flag leaf length, no. of tiller plant<sup>-1</sup>, and panicle length reached 0.603\*\*, 0.494\*\*, and 0.713\*\* respectively. Taller plants often have larger leaves due to increased light interception (22) and the positive correlation between plant height and tiller number is consistent with findings in various crop species (7). The positive association between plant height and panicle length corresponds to the idea that taller plants could be allocate more resources to panicle development (13). Khan et al. (12) and Rashid et al. (24) also found that plant height showed significant positive correlation with panicle length, highly significant positive correlation with no. of tillers plant -1, whilst plant height shows a highly significant and negative correlation with grain length (-0.533\*\*). Taller plants tend to have shorter grains and this highlights a trade-off between plant height and grain size (17). The association between flag leaf length and panicle length was positive and highly significant (0.628\*\*). The positive correlation between flag leaf length and panicle length suggests that longer flag leaves could support the development of longer

panicles (40). whilst had negative significant correlation with grain length (-0.372). The negative correlation between flag leaf length and grain length indicates that longer flag leaves could not necessarily result in longer grains. Panicles length recorded highly significant and negative correlation with grain reached (-0.585\*\*). The correlation between panicle length and grain length is indicative of the fact that while longer panicles may produce more grains, individual grains could be shorter in such cases (39). The correlation between no. of grains panicle-1 and grain yield plant-1 was highly significant and positive (0.627\*\*) which is agreed with the report of Surek and Beser (31). More grains per panicle are associated with higher grain yield per plant consistent with the general understanding that a higher number of grains contribute to increased yield (22). Khan et al. (12) found that no. of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> and grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> had positive correlation. 1000grain weight recorded a highly significant and positive correlation with grain (0.659\*\*). Heavier grains tend to be wider and aligns with the idea that grain weight is associated with grain size and dimensions (6).

Table 9. Correlation Analysis at Penjwen Location

|                                     |          | · > 1     |                     | ary bib at i t |                       | ,          |        |       |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------|--------|-------|
|                                     | Plant    | Flag leaf | No. of              | Panicle        | No. of                | 1000       | Grain  | Grain |
| Traits                              | height   | length    | tillers             | length         | grains                | grain      | length | width |
|                                     | (cm)     | (cm)      | plant <sup>-1</sup> | (cm)           | panicle <sup>-1</sup> | weight (g) | (mm)   | (mm)  |
| Flag leaf length (cm)               | 0.603**  |           |                     |                |                       |            |        |       |
| No. of tillers plant <sup>-1</sup>  | 0.494**  | 0.247     |                     |                |                       |            |        |       |
| Panicle length (cm)                 | 0.713**  | 0.628     | 0.317               |                |                       |            |        |       |
| No. of grains panicle <sup>-1</sup> | -0.190   | 0.079     | -0.239              | -0.007         |                       |            |        |       |
| 1000 grain weight (g)               | -0.003   | -0.215    | 0.189               | 0.101          | 0.254                 |            |        |       |
| Grain length (mm)                   | -0.533** | -0.372*   | -0.352              | -0.585**       | -0.022                | 0.136      |        |       |
| Grain width (mm)                    | 0.095    | -0.099    | 0.303               | 0.184          | 0.207                 | 0.659**    | -0.349 |       |
| Grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup> (g) | -0.139   | -0.045    | 0.032               | -0.050         | 0.627**               | 0.236      | 0.095  | 0.081 |

<sup>\*.</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed),  $t_{0.05}(29)=2.045$ 

# Path coefficient analysis

The path coefficient analysis for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> was presented in Table 10 at Chalakh location, which indicated that, the maximum positive direct effect on grain yield recorded by no. of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> (0.905) followed by no. of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> with 0.683, while the highest positive indirect effect was 0.417 recorded by no. of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> via flag leaf length followed by no. of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> via panicle length (0.409). Similar results reported by Abdulkhaleq (1). The residual effect

(R=0.011) is quite low, indicating that 98.85% of the variation in grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> could be attributed to the traits included in the analysis. This is a high proportion, suggesting that the selected traits have a strong influence on grain yield and suggests that the role of other independent variables (not included in the experiment) in influencing grain yield is expected to be minimal (1.15%). This implies that the chosen traits are comprehensive in explaining grain yield at the Chalakh location.

<sup>\*\*.</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed),  $t_{0.01}(29)=2.756$ 

**Table 10. Path Analysis at Chalakh Location** 

|                                     | Plant  | Flag leaf | No. of              | Panicle | No. of                | 1000       | Grain  | Grain  |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-----------|---------------------|---------|-----------------------|------------|--------|--------|
| Traits                              | height | length    | tillers             | length  | grains                | grain      | length | width  |
|                                     | (cm)   | (cm)      | plant <sup>-1</sup> | (cm)    | panicle <sup>-1</sup> | weight (g) | (mm)   | (mm)   |
| Plant height (cm)                   | 0.001  | 0.000     | 0.000               | 0.000   | 0.000                 | 0.000      | 0.000  | 0.000  |
| Flag leaf length (cm)               | 0.016  | 0.025     | -0.001              | 0.011   | 0.015                 | -0.012     | -0.006 | -0.005 |
| No. of tillers plant <sup>-1</sup>  | 0.104  | -0.035    | 0.905               | 0.409   | -0.017                | 0.002      | 0.385  | -0.436 |
| Panicle length (cm)                 | -0.041 | -0.058    | -0.059              | -0.131  | -0.059                | 0.038      | -0.071 | 0.088  |
| No. of grains panicle <sup>-1</sup> | 0.088  | 0.417     | -0.013              | 0.308   | 0.683                 | -0.246     | 0.016  | -0.112 |
| 1000 grain weight (g)               | 0.013  | 0.017     | 0.000               | 0.010   | 0.012                 | -0.034     | -0.016 | -0.012 |
| Grain length (mm)                   | 0.031  | 0.027     | -0.051              | -0.065  | -0.003                | -0.055     | -0.119 | 0.051  |
| Grain width (mm)                    | -0.028 | -0.029    | -0.065              | -0.090  | -0.022                | 0.047      | -0.057 | 0.134  |
| Grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup>     | 0.183  | 0.363*    | 0.716**             | 0.452** | 0.610**               | -0.261     | 0.132  | -0.293 |
| (Correlation)                       | 0.103  | 0.303     | 0.710               | 0.432   | 0.010                 | -0.201     | 0.132  | -0.293 |

### - Residual effect =0.011

Table 11 presents the results of the path coefficient analysis for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> at the Penjwen location. The most substantial positive direct effect was observed with no. of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (0.753), followed by no. of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> with a coefficient of 0.340. These outcomes align with previous research by Mishra and Verma (18) and Singh *et al.* (29). Additionally, the most significant positive indirect effects, with a value of 0.168, was attributed to no. of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> *via* its influence on plant height. Another indirect effect of 0.156 was observed for no. of grains

panicle<sup>-1</sup> via grain width. However, these effects are relatively small and may not have substantial practical implications. The traits studied in this experiment account for 64.77% of the variability in grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, as indicated by the residual effect (R=0.352). This means that approximately 35.23% of the changes in grain yield could be attributed to factors not considered in this study. Nevertheless, this finding underscores the importance of the characteristics investigated in this research.

Table 11. Path Analysis at Penjwen Location

|   |        | ible III I u | on randiy s         | is at I city | wen boca              |            |        |        |
|---|--------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------|--------|--------|
|   | Plant  | Flag leaf    | No. of              | Panicle      | No. of                | 1000       | Grain  | Grain  |
| Traits  | height | length       | tillers             | length       | grains                | grain      | length | width  |
|   | (cm)   | (cm)         | plant <sup>-1</sup> | (cm)         | panicle <sup>-1</sup> | weight (g) | (mm)   | (mm)   |
| Plant height (cm)                             | -0.028 | -0.017       | -0.014              | -0.020       | 0.005                 | 0.000      | 0.015  | -0.003 |
| Flag leaf length (cm)                         | -0.119 | -0.197       | -0.049              | -0.124       | -0.016                | 0.042      | 0.073  | 0.020  |
| No. of tillers plant <sup>-1</sup>            | 0.168  | 0.084        | 0.340               | 0.108        | -0.081                | 0.064      | -0.120 | 0.103  |
| Panicle length (cm)                           | 0.070  | 0.061        | 0.031               | 0.098        | -0.001                | 0.010      | -0.057 | 0.018  |
| No. of grains panicle <sup>-1</sup>           | -0.143 | 0.059        | -0.180              | -0.005       | 0.753                 | 0.191      | -0.017 | 0.156  |
| 1000 grain weight (g)                         | 0.000  | -0.008       | 0.007               | 0.004        | 0.010                 | 0.039      | 0.005  | 0.026  |
| Grain length (mm)                             | -0.068 | -0.047       | -0.045              | -0.075       | -0.003                | 0.017      | 0.128  | -0.044 |
| Grain width (mm)                              | -0.019 | 0.019        | -0.059              | -0.036       | -0.040                | -0.128     | 0.068  | -0.195 |
| Grain yield plant <sup>-1</sup> (Correlation) | -0.139 | -0.045       | 0.032               | -0.050       | 0.627**               | 0.236      | 0.095  | 0.081  |

# - Residual effect =0.352 CONCLUSIONS

the The results illustrate considerable variability in agronomic traits among different rice genotypes at the Chalakh location. There was a substantial impact of location on rice crop performance. Chalakh outperformed Penjwen in all studied traits, indicating that it is more suitable for rice cultivation, likely due to differences in climatic conditions between the two locations. These findings consistent with the understanding that climate, soil, and environmental factors play a crucial role in crop performance and productivity. The correlation analysis reveals several key relationships among different traits in the study. No. of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>, panicle length, and no. of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> appears to be positively correlated with grain yield at the Chalakh location. At Penjwen location, the correlation analysis reveals various significant relationships among the studied traits. These relationships provide valuable insights into how different traits are interconnected and can crop potentially inform breeding management strategies. The path coefficient analysis conducted for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> at both Chalakh and Penjwen locations provided valuable insights into the direct and indirect effects of various traits on grain yield. At Chalakh location, it was evident that the number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> exhibited the highest positive direct effect on grain yield. Moreover, the substantial indirect effects observed,

especially those mediated by flag leaf length and panicle length, indicated the complex interplay of these traits in influencing grain yield. Likewise, the path coefficient analysis at the Penjwen location revealed that the number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> had the most significant positive direct effect on grain yield, with the number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup> also making a substantial contribution. The indirect effects, though relatively smaller in magnitude, demonstrated the inter-connectedness of these traits.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

### **DECLARATION OF FUND**

The authors declare that they have not received a fund.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Abdulkhaleq, D. A. 2023. Breeding potential of rice genotypes in two agroclimatic conditions of Sulaimani Kurdistan Region Iraq. Iraqi J. of Agri. Sci., 54(3):806–819. https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v54i3.1765
- 2. Ahmad, F. and H. Khan, 2021. Effect of different fertilizer treatments on the performance of some local rice varieties under SRI (system of rice intensification) and conventional management practices at district Swat. Pure and Applied Biology (PAB), 5(1): 37-47.

# http://dx.doi.org/10.19045/bspab.2016.50006

3. Amin, E. A. 1979. Correlation and path-coefficient analysis in some short-stature rice cultivars and strains. Inter. Rice Commission Newsletter, 28:19-21.

## https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v54i3.1765

4. Ashikari, M., H. Sakakibara, S. Lin, T. Yamamoto, T. Takashi, A. Nishimura, and M. Matsuoka, 2005. Cytokinin oxidase regulates rice grain production. Science, 309(5735), 741-745.

# https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1113373

- 5. Chakraborty, A., and B. U. Choudhury 2017. Assessment of Genetic variation and divergence in bread wheat genotypes under rainfed condition of West Bengal. Int. Curr. Microbio. A. Sci., 6(8), 1498-1509.
- 6. Fageria, N. K., V. C. Baligar, and C. A. Jones, 2001. Growth and Mineral Nutrition of Field Crops (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). CRC Press.

7. Gaju, O., M. P. Reynolds, D.L. Sparkes, M. J., Foulkes, and S. Mayes 2012. Relationships between large-spike phenotype, grain number, and yield potential in spring wheat. Crop Sci., 52(4), 2318-2329.

## https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2008.05.0285

8. Hairmansis, A., B. Kustianto, Supartopo and Suwarno, 2010. Correlation analysis of agronomic characters and grain yield of rice for tidal swamp areas. Indonessian J. Agric. Sci. 11(1): 11-15.

# https://doi.org/10.21082/IJAS.V11N1.2010.P1 1-15

9. IBM SPSS STATISTIC program 2011. Version 19 statistical software packages". IBM Corporation, New York.

## https://www.ibm.com/us-en

10. Iftekharuddaula, K. M., Khalida Akhtar, M. S. Hassan, K. Fatima and A. Badshah 2002. Character association and selection criteria in irrigated rice. J. Biol. Sci. 2(4):243-246.

# https://doi.10.3923/jbs.2002.243.246

- 11. Khaliq, A., K. Ali, R. Tariq, A. Mahmood, and K. Nawaz 2016. The effect of tillage and sowing methods on growth, yield and yield components of rice. American J. P. Sci., 7(09), 1283-1295.
- 12. Khan, A. S., M. Imran, and M. Ashfaq 2009. Estimation of genetic variability and correlation for grain yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa*). Amercian-Eurasian J. Agri. Environ. Sci., 6(5): 585-590.

# http://www.idosi.org/aejaes/jaes6(5)/17.pdf

- 13. Khush, G. S. 1995. Breaking the yield frontier of rice. GeoJournal, 35(3), 329-332. <a href="https://www.jstor.org/stable/41146413">https://www.jstor.org/stable/41146413</a>
- 14. Liu, H., S. Yang, and Y. Wu 2018. The effects of panicle branch number on rice yield and the potential for yield improvement in a breeding program. Frontiers in Plant Science, 9, 869.
- 15. Madhavilatha, L., M. R. Sekhar, Y. Suneetha and T. Srinivas 2005. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield and quality traits in rice (*Oryza sativa*). Res. Crops. 6(3): 527-534.

## https://doi/full/10.5555/20063026598

16. Manifesto, M. M., A. R. Schlatter, H. E. Hopp, E. Y. Suarez and J. Dubcovsky 2001. Quantitative evaluation of genetic diversity in wheat germplasm using molecular markers. Crop Breed. Gen. Cyto., 41: 682-690.

## https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2001.413682x

17. Milla, R., C. P. Osborne, M. M. Turcotte, and C. Violle 2015. Plant domestication through an ecological lens. Trends in Eco. & Evol., 30(8), 463-469.

## http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.06.006

18. Mishra, L. K., and R. K. Verma 2002. Correlation and path analysis for morphological and quality traits in rice (*O. sativa* L.). Plant Archives, 2(2):275-284.

https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/full/10.5555/20033021532

19. Nachimmuthu, V. V., S. Robin, D. Sudhakar, M. Raveendran, S. Rajeswari, and S. Manonmani 2014. Evaluation of rice genetic diversity and variability in a population panel by principal component analysis. Indian J. Sci. Technol. 7(10):1555–1562.

## https://doi.10.17485/ijst/2014/v7i10.14

- 20. Panda, D. K., S.G. Sharma, and S. Rathi 2012. Correlation studies in aromatic rice genotypes under late sown conditions of Chhattisgarh. Int. J. Agri. Sci., 8(2), 310-313.
- 21. Peng, S., G. S. Khush, P. Virk, Q. Tang, and Y. Zou 2008. Progress in ideotype breeding to increase rice yield potential. Field Crops Res., 108(1), 32-38.

## https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2008.04.001

22. Poorter, H., U. Niinemets, L. Poorter, I.J. Wright, and R. Villar 2009. Causes and consequences of variation in leaf mass per area (LMA): a meta-analysis. New Phytologist, 182(3), 565-588.

### https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-

23. Ragarathinam, S. and V. D. G. Raja 1992. Correlation and path analysis in some rice varieties under alkaline stress. Madras Agri. Res. J. 79: 374-378.

https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/full/10.5555/19941610980

- 24. Rashid, K., I. Khaliq, M. O. Farooq, and M. Z. Ahsan 2014. Correlation and cluster analysis of some yield and yield related traits in rice (*Oryza sativa*), J. Recent. Adv. Agr., 2014, 2(6): 271-276.
- 25. Ravikumar, B., N. Satyanarayana, K. Chamundeswari, M. Bharathalakshmi and A. Vishnuvardhan 2015. Principal component analysis and character association for yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars suitable for irrigated ecosystem. Andhra

Pradesh Rice Research Institute and Regional Agricultural Research Station, Maruteru, India. Current Biotica. 9(1): 25-35.

- 26. Sabesan, T., R. Suresh and K. Saravanan 2009. Genetic variability and correlation for yield and grain quality characters of rice grown in costal saline low land of Tamilnadu. Elect J. Plant Breeding. 1: 56-59.
- 27. Salah, S. S. S., M. A. E. Ahmed, and M.H. Abd El-Ghany, 2023. Agricultural and price policies analysis of the chamomile and fennel crops in Egypt. Fayoum J. Agri. Res. and Dev., 37(1): 223-231.

# https://doi.org/10.21608/fjard.2023.281980

- 28. Singh R. K., and B. D. Chaudhary, 1985. Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis; Kalyani Publishers; New Delhi: pp. 215–218.
- 29. Singh, R., V. Yadav, D. N. Mishra and A. Yadav, 2018. Correlation and path analysis studies in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J. Pharm. Phyto. (SP1)2084-2090.

# https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/full/10.5 555/19801689021

30. Sreenivasulu, N., and U. Wobus, 2013. Seed-development programs: a systems biology-based comparison between dicots and monocots. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 64, 189-217.

# https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050312-120215

- 31. Surek, H., and N. Beser, 2003. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for some yield related traits in rice (*Oryza sativa*) under thrace conditions. Turk. J. Agric. For. 27 (2): 77-83.
- 32. Swamy, B. P. M., H. U. Ahmed, A. Henry, R. Mauleon, S. Dixit, P., P Vikram, ... and A. Kumar, 2013. Genetic, physiological, and gene expression analyses reveal that multiple QTL enhance yield of rice mega-variety IR64 under drought. PLOS ONE, Vol. 8,Iss:5. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062795
- 33. Tripathi, A., R. Bisen, R.P. Ahirwal, S. Paroha, R. Sahu, and A.R.G. Ranganatha 2013. Study on genetic divergence in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) Germplasm based on morphological and quality traits. Bioscan. 2013;8(4):1387–1391.
- 34. Tripathi, M. K., A. Syngkon, P. Pareek, and M. N. Shrivastava 2013. Genetic management of rice variety improvement in

- diverse rainfed ecosystems. Vegetos- An International Journal of Plant Research, 26(2), 46-57.
- 35. Vivekananda, P., and S. Subramanian 2014. Genetic divergence in rainfed rice Oryza. 30: 60–62.
- 36. Xie, Y., L. Tian, and Y. Hu, 2019. Effects of plant density on yield and grain quality of different rice cultivars. Frontiers in Plant Science, 10, 88.
- 37. Xu, X., T. Li, L. Li, X. Fan, and Z. Zhang, 2017. Effects of temperature change on rice yield in the past half century in China. J. Agri. Sci. Tech., 19, 1415-1426.
- 38. Yong-xiang, F. 2008. Correlation and cluster analysis for yield properties of early-japonica rice in cold area. J. Anhui. Agric. Sci. 23(49): 150-186.
- 39. Yoshida, S., H. Ikehashi, and T. Nakamura, 1981. Grain size and grain number in rice. International Rice Research Notes, 6(1), 8-9.

- 40. Yuan, L. P., C. J. Dai, and C. Y. Guan, 2009. Correlation analysis between yield and grain shape traits in double-season rice. Chinese J. Rice Sci., 23(2), 205-209.
- 41. Zhang, Z., Y. Wang, and T. Zheng, 2018. Influence of tiller number on grain yield and quality of rice in cold area. Sci. Rep., 8(1), 1-11.
- 42. Zhu, X. G., S. P. Long, and D. R. Ort, 2010. Improving photosynthetic efficiency for greater yield. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 61, 235-261.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042809-112206